arXiv:1402.3697v1 [math.NT] 15 Feb 2014

THE QUADRATIC FORM IN 9 PRIME VARIABLES

LILU ZHAO

Abstract. Let $f(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ be a regular indefinite integral quadratic form with $n \geqslant 9$, and let t be an integer. It is established that $f(x_1,\dots,x_n)=t$ has solutions in prime variables if there are no local obstructions.

1. Introduction

Let $A=(a_{i,j})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant n}$ a symmetric integral matrix with $n\geqslant 4$. In other words,

$$A=\begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{n,1} & \cdots & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.1}$$

with $a_{i,j}=a_{j,i}\in\mathbb{Z}$ for all $1\leqslant i<j\leqslant n$. Let $f(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ be the quadratic form defined as

$$f(x_1,\dots,x_n)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}a_{i,j}x_ix_j. \tag{1.2}$$

Let t be an integer. For indefinite integral quadratic forms, the Hasse principle asserts that $f(x_1,\dots,x_n)=t$ has integer solutions if and only if $f(x_1,\dots,x_n)=t$ has local solutions.

In this paper, we consider the equation $f(x_1,\dots,x_n)=t$, where $x_1,\dots,x_n$ are prime variables. It is expected that $f(x_1,\dots,x_n)=t$ has solutions with $x_1,\dots,x_n$ primes if there are suitable local solutions. A classical theorem of Hua [8] deals with diagonal quadratic forms in 5 prime variables. In particular, all sufficiently large integers, congruent to 5 modulo 24, can be represented as a sum of five squares of primes. Recently, Liu [10] handled a wide class of quadratic forms f with 10 or more prime variables. The general quadratic form in prime variables (or in dense sets) was recently investigated by Cook [4], and by Keil [9]. In particular, the work of Keil [9] handled all regular quadratic forms in 17 or more variables. It involves only five primes variables for diagonal quadratic equation due to the effective mean value theorem. This is similar to the problem concerning diophantine equations for cubic forms. The works of Baker [1], Vaughan [12, 13] and Wooley [14, 15] can deal with the diagonal cubic equation with 7 variables. However, more variables are involved for general cubic forms. One can refer to the works of Heath-Brown [5, 6] and Hooley [7] for general cubic forms.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11D09 (11P32, 11P55)
Keywords: quadratic form, prime variables, circle method

The purpose of this paper is to investigate general regular quadratic forms in 9 or more prime variables. We define

$$N_{f,t}(X) = \sum_{\substack{1\leqslant x_1,\dots,x_n\leqslant X\\ f(x_1,\dots,x_n)=t}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Lambda(x_j),$$

where $\Lambda(\cdot)$ is the von Mangoldt function. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ be a quadratic form given by (1.2), and let $t\in\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathfrak{S}(f,t)$ and $\mathfrak{I}_{f,t}(X)$ be defined in (3.3) and (3.5), respectively. Suppose that* $\mathrm{rank}(A)\geqslant 9$*, and K is an arbitrary large real number. Then we have*

$$N_{f,t}(X) = \mathfrak{S}(f,t)\mathfrak{I}_{f,t}(X) + O(X^{n-2}\log^{-K} X), \tag{1.3}$$

where the implied constant depends on f and K.

We write $V_{f,t}$ for the affine quadric

$$\{(x_1,\dots,x_n)^T\in\mathbb{Z}^n:\ f(x_1,\dots,x_n)=t\}.$$

For a set S, we define

$$V_{f,t}(S) = \{(x_1,\dots,x_n)^T\in S^n:\ f(x_1,\dots,x_n)=t\}.$$

Denote by $\mathbb{P}$ the set of all prime numbers. For a prime $p\in\mathbb{P}$, we use $\mathbb{Z}_p$ to denote the ring of p-adic integers. Let

$$V^0_{f,t}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \{(x_1,\dots,x_n)^T\in V_{f,t}(\mathbb{Z}_p):\ x_1\cdots x_n\equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}\ p)\}.$$

We say there are no local obstructions for V if for any $p\in\mathbb{P}$ one has

$$V_{f,t}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = V^0_{f,t}(\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

The general local to global conjecture of Bourgain-Gamburd-Sarnak [2] asserts that $V_{f,t}(\mathbb{P})$ is Zariski dense in $V_{f,t}$ if and only if there are no local obstructions for $V_{f,t}$. Theorem 1.1 of Liu [10] verified this conjecture for a wide class of regular indefinite integral quadratic forms with 10 or more variables. Theorem 1.1 has the following corollary, which improves upon Theorem 1.1 of Liu [10].

Corollary 1.1. *Let $f(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ be a regular indefinite integral quadratic form with $n\geqslant 9$, and let $t\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then $V_{f,t}(\mathbb{P})$ is Zariski dense in $V_{f,t}$ if and only if there are no local obstructions.*

Corollary 1.1 covers all regular indefinite integral quadratic forms in 9 prime variables. The O-constant in the asymptotic formula (1.3) is independent of t. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 implies the following result.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $f(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ be a positive definite integral quadratic form with $n\geqslant 9$. Then there exist $r,q\in\mathbb{N}$ so that all sufficiently large natural numbers N, congruent to r modulo q, can be represented as $N=f(p_1,\dots,p_n)$, where $p_1,\dots,p_n$ are prime numbers.*

The method in this paper can be also applied to refine Theorem 1.1 of Keil [9]. In particular, one can obtain a variant of Theorem 1.1 of Keil [9] for a wide class of quadratic forms in 9 variables.

2. Notations

As usual, we write $e(z)$ for $e^{2\pi iz}$. Throughout we assume that X is sufficiently large. Let $L = \log X$. We use $\ll$ and $\gg$ to denote Vinogradov's well-known notation, while the implied constants may depend on the form f. Denote by $\phi(q)$ the Euler function.

For a set $\mathcal{S}$, we denote by

$$\mathcal{S}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T : \ x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{S}\}. \tag{2.1}$$

We introduce the notations for the set of m by n matrices

$$M_{m,n}(\mathcal{S}) = \Big\{(a_{i,j})_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m,\, 1\leqslant j\leqslant n} : \ a_{i,j} \in \mathcal{S}\Big\} \tag{2.2}$$

and the set of invertible matrices of order n

$$GL_n(\mathcal{S}) = \Big\{B \in M_{n,n}(\mathcal{S}) : \ B \text{ is invertible}\Big\}, \tag{2.3}$$

respectively. We define the off-diagonal rank of A as

$$\mathrm{rank}_{\mathrm{off}}(A) = \max\{r : \ r \in R\}, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$R = \Big\{\mathrm{rank}(B) : \ B = (a_{i_k,j_l})_{1\leqslant k,l\leqslant r} \ \text{ with } \ \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \cap \{j_1, \cdots, j_r\} = \emptyset.\Big\}.$$

In other words, $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathrm{off}}(A)$ is the maximal rank of a submatrix in A, which does not contain any diagonal entries. For $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we write

$$\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \Lambda(x_1) \cdots \Lambda(x_n).$$

For $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we also use the notation $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x})$ to indicate that the argument $\mathcal{A}(x_j)$ holds for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s$. The meaning will be clear from the text. For example, we use $1 \leqslant \mathbf{x} \leqslant X$ and $|\mathbf{x}| \leqslant X$ to denote $1 \leqslant x_j \leqslant X$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$ and $|x_j| \leqslant X$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, respectively.

In order to apply the circle method, we introduce the exponential sum

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{x}\leqslant X} \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) e\big(\alpha \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}\big), \tag{2.5}$$

where A is defined in (1.1). We define

$$\mathcal{M}(Q) = \bigcup_{1\leqslant q\leqslant Q} \bigcup_{\substack{1\leqslant a\leqslant q \\ (a,q)=1}} \mathcal{M}(q, a; Q), \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}(q, a; Q) = \Big\{\alpha : \ \big|\alpha - \frac{a}{q}\big| \leqslant \frac{Q}{qX^2}\Big\}.$$

The intervals $\mathcal{M}(q, a; Q)$ are pairwise disjoint for $1 \leqslant a \leqslant q \leqslant Q$ and $(a, q) = 1$ provided that $Q \leqslant X/2$. For $Q \leqslant X/2$, we set

$$\mathfrak{m}(Q) = \mathcal{M}(2Q) \setminus \mathcal{M}(Q). \tag{2.7}$$

Now we introduce the major arcs defined as

$$\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{M}(P) \quad \text{with} \quad P = L^K, \tag{2.8}$$

where K is a sufficiently large constant throughout this paper. Then we define the minor arcs as

$$\mathfrak{m} = [X^{-1}, 1 + X^{-1}] \setminus \mathfrak{M}. \tag{2.9}$$

3. The contribution from the major arcs

For $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(a, q) = 1$, we define

$$C(q, a) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ 1 \leqslant \mathbf{h} \leqslant q \\ (\mathbf{h}, q) = 1}} e\Big(\mathbf{h}^T A \mathbf{h} \frac{a}{q}\Big), \tag{3.1}$$

where A is given by (1.1). Let

$$B(q) = \frac{1}{\phi^n(q)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a \leqslant q \\ (a,q)=1}} C(q, a) e\Big(-\frac{at}{q}\Big). \tag{3.2}$$

Concerning $B(q)$, we have the following two conclusions.

Lemma 3.1. *The arithmetic function $B(q)$ is multiplicative.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let $B(q)$ be defined as (3.2). If $\mathrm{rank}(A) \geqslant 5$, then we have*

$$B(q) \ll_{A,\varepsilon} q^{-3/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Now we introduce the singular series $\mathfrak{S}(f, t)$ defined as

$$\mathfrak{S}(f, t) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} B(q), \tag{3.3}$$

where $B(q)$ is given by (3.2). From Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we conclude the following result.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that $\mathrm{rank}(A) \geqslant 5$. Then the singular series $\mathfrak{S}(f, t)$ is absolutely convergent, and*

$$\mathfrak{S}(f, t) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \chi_p(f, t),$$

where the local densities $\chi_p(f, t)$ are defined as

$$\chi_p(f, t) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B(p^m).$$

Moreover, if there are no local obstructions, then one has

$$\mathfrak{S}(f, t) \gg 1.$$

We define

$$I(\beta) = \int_{[1,X]^n} e\big(\beta \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}\big) d\mathbf{x}. \tag{3.4}$$

Since $I(\beta) \ll X^n(1+X^2|\beta|)^{-2}$ for $\mathrm{rank}(A) \geqslant 4$, we introduce the singular integral

$$\mathfrak{I}_{f,t}(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I(\beta)e(-t\beta)d\beta, \tag{3.5}$$

where $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T A\mathbf{x}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let*

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{x}\leqslant X} \Lambda(\mathbf{x})e\big(\alpha \mathbf{x}^T A\mathbf{x}\big),$$

where $A \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a symmetric matrix with $\mathrm{rank}(A) \geqslant 5$. Then one has

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}} S(\alpha)e(-t\alpha)d\alpha = \mathfrak{S}(f,t)\mathfrak{I}_{f,t}(X) + O(X^{n-2}L^{-K/4}). \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. We write $f(\mathbf{x})$ for $\mathbf{x}^T A\mathbf{x}$. By the definition of $\mathfrak{M}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathfrak{M}} S(\alpha)e(-t\alpha)d\alpha\\ =&\sum_{q\leqslant P}\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant a\leqslant q\\(a,q)=1}}\int_{|\beta|\leqslant \frac{P}{qX^2}}\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{x}\leqslant X}\Lambda(\mathbf{x})e\Big(f(\mathbf{x})(\frac{a}{q}+\beta)\Big)e\Big(-t(\frac{a}{q}+\beta)\Big)d\beta.\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

We introduce the congruence condition to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{x}\leqslant X}\Lambda(\mathbf{x})e\Big(f(\mathbf{x})(\frac{a}{q}+\beta)\Big)\\ =&\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{h}\leqslant q}e\Big(f(\mathbf{h})\frac{a}{q}\Big)\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant \mathbf{x}\leqslant X\\ \mathbf{x}\equiv\mathbf{h}(\mathrm{mod}\ q)}}\Lambda(\mathbf{x})e\big(f(\mathbf{x})\beta\big)\\ =&\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant \mathbf{h}\leqslant q\\(\mathbf{h},q)=1}}e\Big(f(\mathbf{h})\frac{a}{q}\Big)\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant \mathbf{x}\leqslant X\\ \mathbf{x}\equiv\mathbf{h}(\mathrm{mod}\ q)}}\Lambda(\mathbf{x})e\big(f(\mathbf{x})\beta\big)+O(X^{n-1}L^2P).\end{aligned}$$

Since $q \leqslant P = L^K$, the Siegel-Walfisz theorem together with integration by parts will imply for $(\mathbf{h}, q) = 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant \mathbf{x}\leqslant X\\ \mathbf{x}\equiv\mathbf{h}(\mathrm{mod}\ q)}}\Lambda(\mathbf{x})e\big(f(\mathbf{x})\beta\big) =&\frac{1}{\phi^n(q)}\int_{[1,X]^n}e\big(f(\mathbf{x})\beta\big)d\mathbf{x}+O(X^nL^{-100K})\\ =&\frac{1}{\phi^n(q)}I(\beta)+O(X^nL^{-100K}).\end{aligned}$$

It follows from above

$$\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{x}\leqslant X}\Lambda(\mathbf{x})e\Big(f(\mathbf{x})(\frac{a}{q}+\beta)\Big) = \frac{C(q,a)}{\phi^n(q)}I(\beta)+O(X^nL^{-10K}). \tag{3.8}$$

By putting (3.8) into (3.7), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}} S(\alpha)e(-t\alpha)d\alpha = \sum_{q\leqslant P}B(q)\int_{|\beta|\leqslant\frac{P}{qX^2}}I(\beta)e(-t\beta)d\beta+O(X^nL^{-K}). \tag{3.9}$$

It follows from $I(\beta) \ll X^n(1+X^2|\beta|)^{-2}$ that

$$\mathfrak{I}_{f,t}(X) \ll X^{n-2} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\int_{|\beta|\leqslant \frac{P}{qX^2}} I(\beta)e(-t\beta)d\beta = \mathfrak{I}_{f,t}(X) + O(qX^{n-2}P^{-1}). \tag{3.11}$$

Combining (3.9), (3.10), (3.11) together with Lemma 3.2, we conclude

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}} S(\alpha)e(-t\alpha)d\alpha = \mathfrak{S}(f,t)\mathfrak{I}_{f,t}(X) + O(X^{n-2}L^{-K/4}).$$

The proof of Lemma 3.4 is completed. □

4. Estimates for exponential sums

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\{\xi_z\}$ be a sequence satisfying $|\xi_z| \leqslant 1$. Then one has*

$$\sum_{|y|\ll X}\Big|\sum_{|z|\ll X}\xi_z e(\alpha yz)\Big|^2 \ll X\sum_{|x|\ll X}\min\{X,\ \|x\alpha\|^{-1}\}.$$

Proof. We expand the square to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{|y|\ll X}\Big|\sum_{|z|\ll X}\xi_z e(\alpha yz)\Big|^2 &= \sum_{|z_1|\ll X}\sum_{|z_2|\ll X}\xi_{z_1}\overline{\xi_{z_2}}\sum_{|y|\ll X}e\big(\alpha y(z_1-z_2)\big)\\ &\leqslant \sum_{|z_1|\ll X}\sum_{|z_2|\ll X}\Big|\sum_{|y|\ll X}e\big(\alpha y(z_1-z_2)\big)\Big|.\end{aligned}$$

By changing variables, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{|y|\ll X}\Big|\sum_{|z|\ll X}\xi_z e(\alpha yz)\Big|^2 &\ll \sum_{|z|\ll X}\sum_{|x|\ll X}\Big|\sum_{|y|\ll X}e\big(\alpha yx\big)\Big|\\ &\ll X\sum_{|x|\ll X}\Big|\sum_{|y|\ll X}e\big(\alpha yx\big)\Big|\\ &\ll X\sum_{|x|\ll X}\min\{X,\ \|x\alpha\|^{-1}\}.\end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof. □

Lemma 4.2. *For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}(Q)$, one has*

$$\sum_{|x|\ll X}\min\{X,\ \|x\alpha\|^{-1}\} \ll LQ^{-1}X^2.$$

Proof. For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}(Q)$, there exist a and q such that $1 \leqslant a \leqslant q \leqslant 2Q$, $(a,q)=1$ and $|\alpha - a/q| \leqslant 2Q(qX^2)^{-1}$. By a variant of Lemma 2.2 of Vaughan [11] (see also Exercise 2 in Chapter 2 [11]), one has

$$\sum_{|x|\ll X}\min\{X,\ \|x\alpha\|^{-1}\} \ll LX^2\Big(\frac{1}{q(1+X^2|\beta|)}+\frac{1}{X}+\frac{q(1+X^2|\beta|)}{X^2}\Big).$$

Since $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}(Q)$, one has either $q > Q$ or $|\alpha - a/q| > Q(qX^2)^{-1}$. Then the desired estimate follows immediately. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. For $d \in \mathbb{Q}$, we define*

$$f(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{1 \leqslant x \leqslant X} \Lambda(x) e(\alpha d x^2 + x\beta). \tag{4.1}$$

If $d \neq 0$, then one has

$$f(\alpha, \beta) \ll XL^{-K/5},$$

where the implied constant depends only on d and K.

Proof. The method used to handle $\sum_{1 \leqslant x \leqslant X} \Lambda(x) e(\alpha x^2)$ can be modified to establish the desired conclusion. We only explain that the implied constant is independent of β. By Vaughan's identity, we essentially consider two types of exponential sums

$$\sum_y \eta_y \sum_x e(\alpha d x^2 y^2 + xy\beta) \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\sum_x \sum_y \xi_x \eta_y e(\alpha d x^2 y^2 + xy\beta). \tag{4.3}$$

By Cauchy's inequality, to handle the summation (4.3), it suffices to deal with

$$\sum_{y_1} \sum_{y_2} \eta_{y_1} \overline{\eta_{y_2}} \sum_x e\big(\alpha d x^2 (y_1^2 - y_2^2) + x(y_1 - y_2)\beta\big).$$

One can apply the differencing argument to the summation of the type $\sum_x e(\alpha' x^2 + x\beta')$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Big| \sum_x e(\alpha' x^2 + x\beta') \Big|^2 &= \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} e\big(\alpha'(x_1^2 - x_2^2) + (x_1 - x_2)\beta'\big) \\ &= \sum_h \sum_x e(2\alpha' h x + h\beta') \ \leqslant \sum_h \Big| \sum_x e(2\alpha' h x) \Big|. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the fact that the estimate (4.1) is uniformly for β. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}(Q)$. Suppose that A is in the form*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B & 0 \\ B^T & A_2 & C \\ 0 & C^T & A_3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\mathrm{rank}(B) \geqslant 3$ *and* $\mathrm{rank}(C) \geqslant 2$. *Then we have*

$$S(\alpha) \ll X^n Q^{-5/2} L^{n+5/2}. \tag{4.5}$$

Remark. *In view of the proof, the estimate (4.5) still holds provided that* $\mathrm{rank}(B) + \mathrm{rank}(C) \geqslant 5$.

Proof. In view of (4.4), we can write $S(\alpha)$ in the form

$$S(\alpha)=\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant \mathbf{x}\leqslant X\\ 1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X\\ 1\leqslant \mathbf{z}\leqslant X}}\Lambda(\mathbf{x})\Lambda(\mathbf{y})\Lambda(\mathbf{z})e\Big(\alpha\big(\mathbf{x}^TA_1\mathbf{x}+2\mathbf{x}^TB\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{y}^TA_2\mathbf{y}+2\mathbf{y}^TC\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{z}^TA_3\mathbf{z}\big)\Big),$$

where $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{N}^r$, $\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{N}^s$ and $\mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{N}^t$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}S(\alpha)\leqslant L^s\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X}\Big|\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{x}\leqslant X}\Lambda(\mathbf{x})e\Big(\alpha\big(\mathbf{x}^TA_1\mathbf{x}+2\mathbf{x}^TB\mathbf{y}\big)\Big)\Big|\\ \times\Big|\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{z}\leqslant X}\Lambda(\mathbf{z})e\Big(\alpha\big(2\mathbf{y}^TC\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{z}^TA_3\mathbf{z}\big)\Big)\Big|.\end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}S(\alpha)\leqslant& L^s\Big(\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X}\Big|\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{x}\leqslant X}\Lambda(\mathbf{x})e\big(\alpha(\mathbf{x}^TA_1\mathbf{x}+2\mathbf{x}^TB\mathbf{y})\big)\Big|^2\Big)^{1/2}\\ &\times\Big(\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X}\Big|\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{z}\leqslant X}\Lambda(\mathbf{z})e\big(\alpha(2\mathbf{y}^TC\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{z}^TA_3\mathbf{z})\big)\Big|^2\Big)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

We deduce by opening the square that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X}\Big|\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{x}\leqslant X}\Lambda(\mathbf{x})e\big(\alpha(\mathbf{x}^TA_1\mathbf{x}+2\mathbf{x}^TB\mathbf{y})\big)\Big|^2\\ &=\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{x}_1\leqslant X}\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{x}_2\leqslant X}\xi(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2)\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X}e\big(2\alpha(\mathbf{x}_1-\mathbf{x}_2)^TB\mathbf{y})\big)\\ &=\sum_{|\mathbf{h}|\leqslant X}\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant \mathbf{x}\leqslant X\\ 1\leqslant \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}\leqslant X}}\xi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h},\mathbf{x})\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X}e\big(2\alpha(\mathbf{h}^TB\mathbf{y})\big)\\ &\leqslant X^rL^{2r}\sum_{|\mathbf{h}|\leqslant X}\Big|\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X}e\big(2\alpha(\mathbf{h}^TB\mathbf{y})\big)\Big|,\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2)$ is defined as

$$\xi(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2)=\Lambda(\mathbf{x}_1)\Lambda(\mathbf{x}_2)e\big(\alpha(\mathbf{x}_1^TA_1\mathbf{x}_1-\mathbf{x}_2^TA_1\mathbf{x}_2)\big).$$

We write

$$B=\begin{pmatrix}b_{1,1}&\cdots&b_{1,s}\\ \vdots&\dots&\vdots\\ b_{r,1}&\cdots&b_{r,s}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\mathrm{rank}(B)\geqslant 3$, without loss of generality, we assume that $\mathrm{rank}(B_0)=3$, where $B_0=(b_{i,j})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 3}$. Let $B'=(b_{i,j})_{4\leqslant i\leqslant r,1\leqslant j\leqslant 3}$. Then one has

$$\sum_{|\mathbf{h}|\leqslant X}\Big|\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X}e\big(2\mathbf{h}^TB\mathbf{y}\alpha\big)\Big|\leqslant X^{s-3}\sum_{|h_4|,\dots,|h_r|\leqslant X}\sum_{|\mathbf{h}|\leqslant X}\Big|\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X}e\big(2\alpha(\mathbf{h}^TB_0+\mathbf{k}^T)\mathbf{y}\big)\Big|,$$

where $\mathbf{k}^T = (h_4, \dots, h_r)^T B'$. By changing variables $\mathbf{x}^T = 2(\mathbf{h}^T B_0 + \mathbf{k}^T)$, we obtain

$$\sum_{|\mathbf{h}|\leqslant X} \Big| \sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X} e\big(2\mathbf{h}^T B\mathbf{y}\alpha\big)\Big| \leqslant X^{s-3} \sum_{|h_4|,\dots,|h_r|\leqslant X} \sum_{|\mathbf{x}|\ll X} \Big| \sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X} e\big(\alpha(\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{y})\big)\Big|$$
$$\ll X^{r+s-6} \sum_{|\mathbf{x}|\ll X} \Big| \sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X} e\big(\alpha(\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{y})\big)\Big|.$$

We apply Lemma 4.2 to conclude that

$$\sum_{|\mathbf{h}|\leqslant X} \Big| \sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X} e\big(2\mathbf{h}^T B\mathbf{y}\alpha\big)\Big| \ll X^{r+s} Q^{-3} L^3,$$

and therefore,

$$\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X} \Big| \sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{x}\leqslant X} \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) e\big(\alpha(\mathbf{x}^T A_1\mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{x}^T B\mathbf{y})\big)\Big|^2 \ll X^{2r+s} Q^{-3} L^{2r+3}. \tag{4.7}$$

Similar to (4.7), we can prove

$$\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X} \Big| \sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{z}\leqslant X} \Lambda(\mathbf{z}) e\big(\alpha(2\mathbf{y}^T C\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{z}^T A_3\mathbf{z})\big)\Big|^2 \ll X^{2t+s} Q^{-2} L^{2t+2}. \tag{4.8}$$

The proof is completed by invoking (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8). □

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that A is in the form (4.4) with $\operatorname{rank}(B) \geqslant 3$ and $\operatorname{rank}(C) \geqslant 2$. Then we have*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big| d\alpha \ll X^{n-2} L^{-K/3}.$$

Proof. By Dirichlet's approximation theorem, for any $\alpha \in [X^{-1}, 1 + X^{-1}]$, there exist a and q with $1 \leqslant a \leqslant q \leqslant X$ and $(a, q) = 1$ such that $|\alpha - a/q| \leqslant (qX)^{-1}$. Thus the desired conclusion follows from Lemma 4.4 by the dyadic argument. □

5. Quadratic forms with off-diagonal rank $\leqslant 3$

Proposition 5.1. *Let A be given by (1.1), and let $S(\alpha)$ be defined in (2.5). Suppose that $\operatorname{rank}(A) \geqslant 9$ and $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathrm{off}}(A) \leqslant 3$. Then we have*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big| d\alpha \ll X^{n-2} L^{-K/6},$$

where the implied constant depends on A and K.

Fron now on, we assume throughout Section 5 that $\operatorname{rank}(A) \geqslant 9$ and

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathrm{off}}(A) = \operatorname{rank}(B) = 3, \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,4} & a_{1,5} & a_{1,6} \\ a_{2,4} & a_{2,5} & a_{2,6} \\ a_{3,4} & a_{3,5} & a_{3,6} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.2}$$

Then we introduce $B_1, B_2, B_3 \in M_{3,n-5}(\mathbb{Z})$ defined as

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,5} & a_{1,6} & a_{1,7} & a_{1,8} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ a_{2,5} & a_{2,6} & a_{2,7} & a_{2,8} & \cdots & a_{2,n} \\ a_{3,5} & a_{3,6} & a_{3,7} & a_{3,8} & \cdots & a_{3,n} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.3}$$

$$B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,4} & a_{1,6} & a_{1,7} & a_{1,8} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ a_{2,4} & a_{2,6} & a_{2,7} & a_{2,8} & \cdots & a_{2,n} \\ a_{3,4} & a_{3,6} & a_{3,7} & a_{3,8} & \cdots & a_{3,n} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$B_3 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,4} & a_{1,5} & a_{1,7} & a_{1,8} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ a_{2,4} & a_{2,5} & a_{2,7} & a_{2,8} & \cdots & a_{2,n} \\ a_{3,4} & a_{3,5} & a_{3,7} & a_{3,8} & \cdots & a_{3,n} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.5}$$

Subject to the assumption (5.1), we have the following.

Lemma 5.1. *If* $\mathrm{rank}(B_1) = \mathrm{rank}(B_2) = \mathrm{rank}(B_3) = 2$*, then one has*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big| d\alpha \ll X^{n-2} L^{-K/6}.$$

Lemma 5.2. *If* $\mathrm{rank}(B_1) = \mathrm{rank}(B_2)$ *and* $\mathrm{rank}(B_3) = 3$*, then one has*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big| d\alpha \ll X^{n-2} L^{-K/6}.$$

Lemma 5.3. *If* $\mathrm{rank}(B_1) = 2$ *and* $\mathrm{rank}(B_2) = \mathrm{rank}(B_3) = 3$*, then one has*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big| d\alpha \ll X^{n-2} L^{-K/6}.$$

Lemma 5.4. *If* $\mathrm{rank}(B_1) = \mathrm{rank}(B_2) = \mathrm{rank}(B_3) = 3$*, then one has*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big| d\alpha \ll X^{n-2} L^{-K/6}.$$

Remark for the Proof of Proposition 5.1. If $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathrm{off}}(A) = 0$, then A is a diagonal matrix and the conclusion is classical. When $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathrm{off}}(A) = 3$, our conclusion follows from Lemmas 5.1-5.4 immediately. The method applied to establish Lemmas 5.1-5.4 can be also used to deal with the case $1 \leqslant \mathrm{rank}_{\mathrm{off}}(A) \leqslant 2$. Indeed, the proof of Proposition 5.1 under the condition $1 \leqslant \mathrm{rank}_{\mathrm{off}}(A) \leqslant 2$ is easier, and we omit the details. Therefore, our main task is to establish Lemmas 5.1-5.4.

Lemma 5.5. *Let* $C \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{Q})$ *be a symmetric matrix, and let* $H \in M_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$*. For* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^k$*, we define*

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} w(\mathbf{x}) e(\alpha \mathbf{x}^T C \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^T H \boldsymbol{\beta}),$$

where $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ *is a finite subset of* $\mathbb{Z}^n$*. Then we have*

$$\int_{[0,1]^{k+1}} \Big|\mathcal{F}(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2 d\alpha d\boldsymbol{\beta} \ll \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F}),$$

where $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F})$ is given by the following

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X},\,\mathbf{y}\in\mathcal{X}\\ \mathbf{x}^TC\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}^TC\mathbf{y}\\ \mathbf{x}^TH=\mathbf{y}^TH}} w(\mathbf{x})w(\mathbf{y}).$$

Proof. We can choose a natural number $h\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $hC\in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $hH\in M_{n,k}(\mathbb{Z})$. Then we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[0,1]^{k+1}} \big|\mathcal{F}(\alpha,\,\boldsymbol{\beta})\big|^2 d\alpha d\boldsymbol{\beta}\\
\leqslant &\int_{[0,h]^{k+1}} \Big|\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}} w(\mathbf{x})e\Big(h^{-1}\alpha\mathbf{x}^T(hC)\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{x}^T(hH)(h^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big)\Big|^2 d\alpha d\boldsymbol{\beta}\\
=&h^{k+1}\int_{[0,1]^{k+1}} \Big|\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}} w(\mathbf{x})e\Big(\alpha\mathbf{x}^T(hC)\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{x}^T(hH)\boldsymbol{\beta}\Big)\Big|^2 d\alpha d\boldsymbol{\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

By orthogonality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[0,1]^{k+1}} \Big|\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}} w(\mathbf{x})e\Big(\alpha\mathbf{x}^T(hC)\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{x}^T(hH)\boldsymbol{\beta}\Big)\Big|^2 d\alpha d\boldsymbol{\beta}\\
= &\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X},\,\mathbf{y}\in\mathcal{X}\\ \mathbf{x}^T(hC)\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}^T(hC)\mathbf{y}\\ \mathbf{x}^T(hH)=\mathbf{y}^T(hH)}} w(\mathbf{x})w(\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, one obtains have

$$\int_{[0,1]^{k+1}} \Big|\mathcal{F}(\alpha,\,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2 d\alpha d\boldsymbol{\beta} \leqslant h^{k+1}\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F}),$$

and this completes the proof. □

Lemma 5.6. *Let $C\in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{Q})$ be a symmetric matrix, and let $H\in M_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$. We have*

$$\mathcal{N}_1 \leqslant \mathcal{N}_2,$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}_1 = \sum_{\substack{|\mathbf{x}|\ll X,\,|\mathbf{y}|\ll X\\ \mathbf{x}^TC\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}^TC\mathbf{y}\\ \mathbf{x}^TH=\mathbf{y}^TH}} 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_2 = \sum_{\substack{|\mathbf{x}|\ll X,\,|\mathbf{y}|\ll X\\ \mathbf{x}^TC\mathbf{y}=0\\ \mathbf{x}^TH=0}} 1.$$

Proof. By changing variables $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{z}$, the desired conclusion follows immediately. □

The following result is well-known.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $C\in M_{k,m}(\mathbb{Q})$. If* $\mathrm{rank}(C)\geqslant 2$*, then one has*

$$\sum_{\substack{|\mathbf{x}|\ll X,\,|\mathbf{y}|\ll X\\ \mathbf{x}^TC\mathbf{y}=0}} 1 \ll X^{k+m-2}L,$$

where the implied constant depends on the matrix C.

5.1. **Proof of Lemma 5.1.**

Lemma 5.8. *If* $\mathrm{rank}(B_1) = \mathrm{rank}(B_2) = \mathrm{rank}(B_3) = 2$, *then we can write* A *in the form*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B & 0 \\ B^T & A_2 & C \\ 0 & C^T & D \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.6}$$

where $B \in GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$, $C \in M_{3,n-6}(\mathbb{Z})$ *and* $D = \mathrm{diag}\{d_1, \dots, d_{n-6}\}$ *is a diagonal matrix.*

Proof. We write for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-3$ that

$$\gamma_j = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,3+j} \\ a_{2,3+j} \\ a_{3,3+j} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.7}$$

Since $B = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \in GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$, γ_1, γ_2 and γ_3 are linearly independent. For any $4 \leqslant j \leqslant n-3$, one has $\mathrm{rank}(\gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_j) \leqslant \mathrm{rank}(B_1) = 2$. Therefore, we obtain $\gamma_j \in < \gamma_2, \gamma_3 >$. Similarly, one has $\gamma_j \in < \gamma_1, \gamma_2 >$ and $\gamma_j \in < \gamma_1, \gamma_2 >$. Then we can conclude that $\gamma_j = 0$ for $4 \leqslant j \leqslant n-3$.

For $7 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n$. we write

$$B_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,4} & a_{1,5} & a_{1,6} & a_{1,j} \\ a_{2,4} & a_{2,5} & a_{2,6} & a_{2,j} \\ a_{3,4} & a_{3,5} & a_{3,6} & a_{3,j} \\ a_{i,4} & a_{i,5} & a_{i,6} & a_{i,j} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1^T \\ \eta_2^T \\ \eta_3^T \\ \eta_4^T \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $3 \leqslant \mathrm{rank}(B_{i,j}) \leqslant \mathrm{rank}_{\mathrm{off}}(A) = 3$, we conclude that η_4^T can be linearly represented by η_1^T, η_2^T and η_3^T. Then we obtain $a_{i,j} = 0$ due to $a_{1,j} = a_{2,j} = a_{3,j} = 0$. Therefore, the matrix A is in the form (5.6). We complete the proof. □

Proof of Lemma 5.1. By Lemma 5.8, we have

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{N}^3 \\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{x}\leqslant X}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{N}^3 \\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{y}\leqslant X}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{N}^{n-6} \\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{z}\leqslant X}} e\Big(\alpha(\mathbf{x}^T A_1 \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{x}^T B\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^T A_2 \mathbf{y} + 2\mathbf{z}^T C^T \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}^T D\mathbf{z})\Big) \times \Lambda(\mathbf{x})\Lambda(\mathbf{y})\Lambda(\mathbf{z}).$$

By orthogonality, we have

$$S(\alpha) = \int_{[0,1]^3} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{Z}^3 \\ |\mathbf{w}|\ll X}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{N}^3 \\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{x}\leqslant X}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{N}^3 \\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{y}\leqslant X}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{N}^{n-6} \\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{z}\leqslant X}} e\big(\alpha(\mathbf{x}^T A_1 \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}^T D\mathbf{z})\big) \times e\Big((2\mathbf{x}^T B + \mathbf{y}^T A_2 + 2\mathbf{z}^T C^T - \mathbf{w}^T)\boldsymbol{\beta}\Big)\Lambda(\mathbf{x})\Lambda(\mathbf{y})\Lambda(\mathbf{z})d\boldsymbol{\beta},$$

where $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)^T$ and we use $d\boldsymbol{\beta}$ to denote $d\beta_1 d\beta_2 d\beta_3$. We define

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{N}^3\\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{x}\leqslant X}} e\big(\alpha\mathbf{x}^T A_1\mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{x}^T B\boldsymbol{\beta}\big)\Lambda(\mathbf{x}),$$

and

$$f_j(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{1\leqslant z\leqslant X} e\big(\alpha d_j z^2 + 2z\xi_j^T\boldsymbol{\beta}\big)\Lambda(z),$$

where $\xi_j = (a_{4,6+j}, a_{5,6+j}, a_{6,6+j})^T$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-6$. On writing $I_3 = (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3)$, we introduce

$$\mathcal{H}_j(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{|w|\ll X} \sum_{1\leqslant y\leqslant X} e\big(\alpha wy + y\gamma_j^T\boldsymbol{\beta} - w\mathbf{e}_j^T\boldsymbol{\beta}\big)\Lambda(y),$$

where $\gamma_j^T = (a_{3+j,4}, a_{3+j,5}, a_{3+j,6})$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant 3$. We conclude from above

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big|d\alpha \leqslant \int_{\mathfrak{m}}\int_{[0,1]^3} \Big|\mathcal{F}(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta})\mathcal{H}_1(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta})\mathcal{H}_2(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta})\mathcal{H}_3(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta})\prod_{j=1}^{n-6} f_j(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|d\boldsymbol{\beta}d\alpha. \tag{5.8}$$

We first consider the case $\mathrm{rank}(D) \geqslant 3$. Without loss of generality, we assume $d_1d_2d_3 \neq 0$. By (5.8) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one has

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big|d\alpha \leqslant \mathcal{I}_1^{1/2}\mathcal{I}_2^{1/2} \sup_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathfrak{m}\\ \boldsymbol{\beta}\in[0,1]^3}} \Big|\prod_{j=3}^{n-6} f_j(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|, \tag{5.9}$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = \int_{[0,1]^4} \Big|\mathcal{F}(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta})f_1(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta})f_2(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2 d\boldsymbol{\beta}d\alpha \tag{5.10}$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_2 = \int_{[0,1]^4} \Big|\mathcal{H}_1(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta})\mathcal{H}_2(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta})\mathcal{H}_3(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2 d\boldsymbol{\beta}d\alpha. \tag{5.11}$$

By Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_1 &\ll L^{10} \sum_{\substack{|\mathbf{x}|,|\mathbf{x}'|,|z_1|,|z_1'|,|z_2|,|z_2'|\ll X\\ \mathbf{x}^T A_1\mathbf{x}+d_1z_1^2+d_2z_2^2=\mathbf{x}'^T A_1\mathbf{x}'+d_1z_1'^2+d_2z_2'^2\\ \mathbf{x}^T B+z_1\gamma_1^T+z_2\gamma_2^T=\mathbf{x}'^T B+z_1'\gamma_1^T+z_2'\gamma_2^T}} 1\\ &\ll L^{10} \sum_{\substack{|\mathbf{x}|,|\mathbf{x}'|,|z_1|,|z_1'|,|z_2|,|z_2'|\ll X\\ \mathbf{x}^T A_1\mathbf{x}'+d_1z_1z_1'+d_2z_2z_2'=0\\ \mathbf{x}^T B+z_1\gamma_1^T+z_2\gamma_2^T=0}} 1.\end{aligned}$$

Since B is invertible, we obtain

$$\mathcal{I}_1 \ll L^{10} \sum_{\substack{|\mathbf{x}'|,|z_1|,|z_1'|,|z_2|,|z_2'|\ll X\\ (z_1\gamma_1^T+z_2\gamma_2^T)B^{-1}A_1\mathbf{x}'+d_1z_1z_1'+d_2z_2z_2'=0}} 1.$$

Then we conclude from Lemma 5.7 that

$$\mathcal{I}_1 \ll X^5L^{11}. \tag{5.12}$$

Similar to (5.12), one can deduce from Lemmas 5.5-5.7,

$$\mathcal{I}_2 \ll X^7L^7. \tag{5.13}$$

Since $d_3 \neq 0$, we obtain by Lemma 4.3

$$\sup_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathfrak{m}\\ \boldsymbol{\beta}\in[0,1]^3}} \Big|f_3(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big| \ll XL^{-K/5},$$

and thereby

$$\sup_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathfrak{m}\\ \boldsymbol{\beta}\in[0,1]^3}} \Big|\prod_{j=3}^{n-6} f_j(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big| \ll X^{n-8}L^{-K/5}. \tag{5.14}$$

Now we conclude from (5.9), (5.12), (5.13) and (5.14) that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big|d\alpha \ll X^{n-2}L^{-K/6}.$$

Next we consider the case $1 \leqslant \mathrm{rank}(D) \leqslant 2$. Without loss of generality, we suppose that $d_1 \neq 0$ and $d_k = 0$ for $3 \leqslant k \leqslant n$. Since $\mathrm{rank}(A) \geqslant 9$, there exists k with $3 \leqslant k \leqslant n-6$ such that $\xi_k \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}^3$. Then we can find i, j with $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 3$ so that $\mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j, \xi_k) = 3$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $i = 1, j = 2$ and $k = 3$. One has

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big|d\alpha \leqslant \sup_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathfrak{m}\\ \boldsymbol{\beta}\in[0,1]^3}} \Big|\prod_{j\neq 3} f_j(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big| \Big(\int_{[0,1]^4} \Big|\mathcal{F}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\mathcal{H}_3(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2 d\boldsymbol{\beta}d\alpha\Big)^{1/2}\\ \times\Big(\int_{[0,1]^4} \Big|\mathcal{H}_1(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\mathcal{H}_2(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})f_3(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2 d\boldsymbol{\beta}d\alpha\Big)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we can apply Lemmas 5.5-5.7 to deduce

$$\int_{[0,1]^4} \Big|\mathcal{F}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\mathcal{H}_3(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2 d\boldsymbol{\beta}d\alpha \ll X^5L^9$$

and

$$\int_{[0,1]^4} \Big|\mathcal{H}_1(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\mathcal{H}_2(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})f_3(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2 d\boldsymbol{\beta}d\alpha \ll X^5L^7.$$

It follows from Lemma 4.3 that

$$\sup_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathfrak{m}\\ \boldsymbol{\beta}\in[0,1]^3}} \Big|\prod_{j\neq 3} f_j(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big| \ll X^{n-7}L^{-K/5}.$$

Then we obtain from above again

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big|d\alpha \ll X^{n-2}L^{-K/6}.$$

Now it suffices to assume $D = 0$. Then the matrix A is in the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B & 0 \\ B^T & A_2 & C \\ 0 & C^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.15}$$

It follows from $\mathrm{rank}(A) \geqslant 9$ that $\mathrm{rank}(C) \geqslant 3$. By Lemma 4.5,

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big| d\alpha \ll X^{n-2} L^{-K/3}.$$

The proof of Lemma 5.1 is completed.

5.2. **Proof of Lemma 5.2.**

Lemma 5.9. *If* $\mathrm{rank}(B_1) = \mathrm{rank}(B_2) = 2$ *and* $\mathrm{rank}(B_3) = 3$, *then the symmetric integral matrix* A *can be written in the form*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & C & \gamma_3 \xi^T \\ C^T & A_2 & V \\ \xi \gamma_3^T & V^T & D + h\xi\xi^T \end{pmatrix},$$

where $C = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in M_{3,2}(\mathbb{Z})$, $\gamma_3 \in \mathbb{Q}^3$, $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-6}$, $V \in M_{2,n-6}(\mathbb{Z})$, $h \in \mathbb{Q}$ *and* $D = \mathrm{diag}\{d_1, \ldots, d_{n-6}\} \in M_{n-5,n-5}(\mathbb{Q})$ *is a diagonal matrix. Moreover, one has* $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \in GL_3(\mathbb{Q})$.

Proof. Let us write

$$\gamma_j' = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,\,3+j} \\ a_{2,\,3+j} \\ a_{3,\,3+j} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-3.$$

Since $\mathrm{rank}(\gamma_1', \gamma_2', \gamma_3') = \mathrm{rank}(B) = 3$, we conclude that γ_1', γ_2' and γ_3' are linearly independent. For any $4 \leqslant j \leqslant n-3$, we deduce from $\mathrm{rank}(B_1) = \mathrm{rank}(B_2) = 2$ that $\gamma_j' \in < \gamma_2', \gamma_3' > \cap < \gamma_2', \gamma_3' > = < \gamma_3' >$. Therefore, we can write A in the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & C & \gamma_3 \xi^T \\ C^T & A_2 & V \\ \xi \gamma_3^T & V^T & A_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $C = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in M_{3,2}(\mathbb{Z})$, $\gamma_3 \in \mathbb{Q}^3$, $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-6}$, $V \in M_{2,n-6}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $A_3 \in M_{n-5,n-5}(\mathbb{Q})$.

For $6 \leqslant j \leqslant n$. we define $\eta_j^T = (a_{j,4}, \ldots, a_{j,j-1}, a_{j,j+1}, \ldots, a_{j,n})^T \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-4}$. Then we set $\theta_{i,j}^T = (a_{i,4}, \ldots, a_{i,j-1}, a_{i,j+1}, \ldots, a_{i,n})^T \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-4}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$. Since $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathrm{off}}(A) = \mathrm{rank}(B) = \mathrm{rank}(B_3) = 3$, η_j can be linearly represented by $\theta_{1,j}$, $\theta_{2,j}$ and $\theta_{3,j}$. Let

$$\theta_i^T = (a_{i,4}, \ldots, a_{i,n})^T \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-3} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3.$$

Then one can choose $a_{j,j}' \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $(a_{j,4}, \ldots, a_{j,j-1}, a_{j,j}', a_{j,j+1}, \ldots, a_{j,n})$ is linearly represented by θ_1, θ_2 and θ_3. We consider A_3 and A_3' defined as

$$A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{6,6} & \cdots & a_{6,n} \\ \vdots & \ldots & \vdots \\ a_{n,6} & \cdots & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_3' = \begin{pmatrix} a_{6,6}' & \cdots & a_{6,n}' \\ \vdots & \ldots & \vdots \\ a_{n,6}' & \cdots & a_{n,n}' \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a'_{i,j}=a_{i,j}$ for $6\leqslant i\neq j\leqslant n$. Since A'_3 is symmetric, we conclude from above that $A'_3=h\xi\xi^T$ for some $h\in\mathbb{Q}$. The proof is completed by noting that $D=A_3-A'_3$ is a diagonal matrix. □

Proof of Lemma 5.2. One can deduce from Lemma 5.9 that

$$S(\alpha)=\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{N}^3\\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{x}\leqslant X}}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{N}^2\\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{y}\leqslant X}}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{N}^{n-5}\\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{z}\leqslant X}} e\Big(\alpha(\mathbf{x}^TA_1\mathbf{x}+2\mathbf{x}^T\gamma_3\xi^T\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{z}^TD\mathbf{z}+h\mathbf{z}^T\xi\xi^T\mathbf{z})\Big)$$
$$\times e\Big(\alpha(2\mathbf{x}^TC\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{y}^TA_2\mathbf{y}+2\mathbf{z}^TC^T\mathbf{y})\Big)\Lambda(\mathbf{x})\Lambda(\mathbf{y})\Lambda(\mathbf{z}).$$

We introduce new variables $\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{Z}^2$ and $s\in\mathbb{Z}$ to replace $2\mathbf{x}^TC+\mathbf{y}^TA_2+2\mathbf{z}^TC^T$ and $\xi^T\mathbf{z}$, respectively. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}S(\alpha)=\int_{[0,1]^3}\sum_{|s|\ll X}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ |\mathbf{w}|\ll X}}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{N}^3\\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{x}\leqslant X}}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{N}^2\\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{y}\leqslant X}}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{N}^{n-5}\\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{z}\leqslant X}}\Lambda(\mathbf{x})\Lambda(\mathbf{y})\Lambda(\mathbf{z})\\ \times e\Big(\alpha(\mathbf{x}^TA_1\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}^TD\mathbf{z}+2\mathbf{x}^T\gamma_3s+hs^2)\Big)\\ \times e\Big((2\mathbf{x}^TC+\mathbf{y}^TA_2+2\mathbf{z}^TV^T-\mathbf{w}^T)\boldsymbol{\beta}'\Big)\\ \times e\Big((\xi^T\mathbf{z}-s)\beta_3\Big)d\boldsymbol{\beta},\end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\beta}'=(\beta_1,\beta_2)^T$, $\boldsymbol{\beta}=(\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3)^T$ and $d\boldsymbol{\beta}=d\beta_1d\beta_2d\beta_3$. We define

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})=\sum_{|s|\ll X}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{N}^3\\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{x}\leqslant X}} e\Big(\alpha(\mathbf{x}^TA_1\mathbf{x}+2\mathbf{x}^T\gamma_3s+hs^2)+2\mathbf{x}^TC\boldsymbol{\beta}'-s\beta_3\Big)\Lambda(\mathbf{x}).$$

On writing $I_2=(\mathbf{e}_1,\mathbf{e}_2)$, we introduce

$$\mathcal{H}_j(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})=\sum_{|w|\ll X}\sum_{1\leqslant y\leqslant X} e(\alpha wy+y\rho_j^T\boldsymbol{\beta}'-w\mathbf{e}_j^T\boldsymbol{\beta}')\Lambda(y),$$

where $\rho_j=(a_{3+j,4},a_{3+j,5})^T$ for $1\leqslant j\leqslant 2$. Let $\xi=(\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_{n-5})^T$. Then we define

$$f_j(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})=\sum_{1\leqslant z\leqslant X} e(\alpha d_jz^2+2zv_j^T\boldsymbol{\beta}'+\epsilon_jz\beta_3)\Lambda(z),$$

where $V=(v_1,\dots,v_{n-5})$ and $\xi_j=(a_{4,5+j},a_{5,5+j})^T$ for $1\leqslant j\leqslant n-5$. With above notations, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}}\big|S(\alpha)\big|d\alpha\leqslant\int_{\mathfrak{m}}\int_{[0,1]^3}\Big|\mathcal{F}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\mathcal{H}_1(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\mathcal{H}_2(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\prod_{j=1}^{n-5}f_j(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|d\boldsymbol{\beta}d\alpha. \tag{5.16}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{I}_1=\int_{[0,1]^4}\Big|\mathcal{F}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})f_i(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2d\boldsymbol{\beta}d\alpha.$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_2=\int_{[0,1]^4}\Big|\mathcal{H}_1(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\mathcal{H}_2(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})f_j(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2d\boldsymbol{\beta}d\alpha.$$

By (5.16) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one has for $i \neq j$ that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big| d\alpha \leqslant \mathcal{I}_1^{1/2}\mathcal{I}_2^{1/2} \sup_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathfrak{m}\\ \boldsymbol{\beta}\in[0,1]^3}} \Big|\prod_{k\neq i,j} f_k(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|. \tag{5.17}$$

One can deduce by Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6 that

$$\mathcal{I}_1 \ll L^8 \sum_{\substack{|\mathbf{x}|,|\mathbf{x}'|,|s|,|s'|,|z|,|z'|\ll X\\ \mathbf{x}^T A_1\mathbf{x}'+2\mathbf{x}^T\gamma_3 s'+2s\gamma_3^T\mathbf{x}'+hss'+d_izz'=0\\ \mathbf{x}^T C+zv_i^T=0\\ s=\epsilon_i z}} 1.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{|\mathbf{x}|,|\mathbf{x}'|,|s|,|s'|,|z|,|z'|\ll X\\ \mathbf{x}^T A_1\mathbf{x}'+2\mathbf{x}^T\gamma_3 s'+2s\gamma_3^T\mathbf{x}'+hss'+d_izz'=0\\ \mathbf{x}^T C+zv_i^T=0\\ s=\epsilon_i z}} 1 &= \sum_{\substack{|\mathbf{x}|,|\mathbf{x}'|,|s'|,|z|,|z'|\ll X\\ \mathbf{x}^T A_1\mathbf{x}'+2\mathbf{x}^T\gamma_3 s'+2\epsilon_i z\gamma_3^T\mathbf{x}'+h\epsilon_i zs'+d_izz'=0\\ \mathbf{x}^T C+zv_i^T=0}} 1\\ &= \sum_{\substack{|\mathbf{x}|,|\mathbf{x}'|,|s|,|s'|,|z|,|z'|\ll X\\ \mathbf{x}^T A_1\mathbf{x}'+2ss'+2\epsilon_i z\gamma_3^T\mathbf{x}'+h\epsilon_i zs'+d_izz'=0\\ \mathbf{x}^T(C,\gamma_3)+(zv_i^T,-s)=0}} 1.\end{aligned}$$

Recalling $\mathrm{rank}(C,\gamma_3)=3$, one can replace $\mathbf{x}$ by $(zv_i^T,-s)(C,\gamma_3)^{-1}$. Therefore, by Lemma 5.7, one has

$$\mathcal{I}_1 \ll X^5L^9 \quad \text{if} \quad d_i\neq 0 \ \text{ or } \ \epsilon_i\neq 0. \tag{5.18}$$

Similarly, one can deduce from Lemmas 5.5-5.7 that

$$\mathcal{I}_2 \ll X^5L^5 \quad \text{if} \quad \epsilon_j\neq 0. \tag{5.19}$$

Since $\mathrm{rank}(B_3)=3$, one has $\epsilon_l\neq 0$ for some l satisfying $2\leqslant l\leqslant n-5$. We may assume $\epsilon_2\neq 0$. We also have $\epsilon_1\neq 0$ due to $\mathrm{rank}(B)=3$. Since $\mathrm{rank}(D)+\mathrm{rank}(V)+1+5\geqslant \mathrm{rank}(A)\geqslant 9$, we obtain $\mathrm{rank}(D)\geqslant 1$. Therefore, if $d_l\neq 0$ or $\epsilon_l\neq 0$ for some $l\geqslant 3$, then we can find i,j,k pairwise distinct so that $(d_i,\epsilon_i)^T\neq 0\in\mathbb{Q}^2$, $\epsilon_j\neq 0$ and $d_k\neq 0$. Then we conclude from (5.17), (5.18) and (5.19) that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big| d\alpha \ll X^{n-2}L^{-K/6}.$$

Next we assume $d_l=\epsilon_l=0$ for all $l\geqslant 3$. Then we can represent A in the form

$$A=\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & H & 0\\ H^T & A_2 & W\\ 0 & W^T & 0\end{pmatrix},$$

where $H\in M_{3,4}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $W\in M_{2,n-7}(\mathbb{Z})$. It follows from $\mathrm{rank}(B)=3$ and $\mathrm{rank}A\geqslant 9$ that $\mathrm{rank}(H)\geqslant 3$ and $\mathrm{rank}(W)\geqslant 2$. We apply Lemma 4.5 to conclude

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big| d\alpha \ll X^{n-2}L^{-K/3}.$$

The proof of Lemma 5.2 is completed.

5.3. **Proof of Lemma 5.3.** The proof of Lemma 5.9 can be modified to establish the following result. The detail of the proof is omitted.

Lemma 5.10. *If* $\mathrm{rank}(B_1) = 2$ *and* $\mathrm{rank}(B_2) = \mathrm{rank}(B_3) = 3$*, then we can write* A *in the form*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \gamma_1 & (\gamma_2, \gamma_3)C \\ \gamma_1^T & a & \upsilon^T \\ C^T(\gamma_2, \gamma_3)^T & \upsilon & D + C^T H C \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.20}$$

where $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^3$*,* $\gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \mathbb{Q}^3$*,* $C \in M_{2,n-4}(\mathbb{Z})$*,* $a \in \mathbb{Z}$*,* $\upsilon \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-4}$*,* $H \in M_{2,2}(\mathbb{Q})$ *and* $D = \mathrm{diag}\{d_1, \dots, d_{n-4}\} \in M_{n-4,n-4}(\mathbb{Q})$ *is a diagonal matrix. Moreover, one has* $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \in GL_3(\mathbb{Q})$*.*

Lemma 5.11. *Let* A *be given by (5.20). We write*

$$C = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-4}) \quad \textit{and} \quad \upsilon^T = (v_1, \dots, v_{n-4}). \tag{5.21}$$

Let

$$R_{i,j,k} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_i & \xi_j & \xi_k \\ v_i & v_j & v_k \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.22}$$

Under the conditions in Lemma 5.10, one can find pairwise distinct i, j, k, u *with* $1 \leqslant i, j, k, u \leqslant n-4$ *such that at least one of the following two statements holds: (i)* $\mathrm{rank}(R_{i,j,k}) = 3$ *and* $d_u \neq 0$*; (ii)* $\mathrm{rank}(\xi_i, \xi_j) = 2$*,* $d_k d_u \neq 0$*.*

Proof. It follows from $9 \leqslant \mathrm{rank}(A) \leqslant \mathrm{rank}(D) + \mathrm{rank}(V) + \mathrm{rank}(C) + 4$ that $\mathrm{rank}(D) \geqslant 2$. If $\mathrm{rank}(D) = 2$, say $d_1 d_2 \neq 0$, then $\mathrm{rank}(R) \geqslant 3$, where

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & \cdots & \xi_{n-4} \\ v_1 & \cdots & v_{n-4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then statement (i) holds. Next we assume $\mathrm{rank}(D) \geqslant 3$. Note that $\mathrm{rank}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = 2$ due to $\mathrm{rank}(B) = 3$. If $d_r d_s \neq 0$ for some $r > s \geqslant 3$, then statement (i) follows by choosing $i = 1, j = 2, k = r$ and $u = s$. Therefore, we now assume that $\mathrm{rank}(D) = 3$ and $d_1 d_2 \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we suppose that $d_3 \neq 0$ and $d_s = 0 (4 \leqslant s \leqslant n-4)$. We consider $\mathrm{rank}(\xi_1, \xi_s)$ and $\mathrm{rank}(\xi_2, \xi_s)$ for $4 \leqslant s \leqslant n-4$. If $\mathrm{rank}(\xi_1, \xi_s) = 2$ for some s with $4 \leqslant s \leqslant n-4$, then one can choose $i = 1$, $j = s$ and $k = 3$ to establish statement (ii). Similarly, statement (ii) follows if $\mathrm{rank}(\xi_2, \xi_s) = 2$ for some s with $4 \leqslant s \leqslant n-4$. Thus it remains to consider the case $\mathrm{rank}(\xi_1, \xi_s) = \mathrm{rank}(\xi_2, \xi_s) = 1$ for $4 \leqslant s \leqslant n-4$. However, it follows from $\mathrm{rank}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \mathrm{rank}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_s) = 2$ that $\xi_s = 0$, and this is contradictory to the condition $\mathrm{rank}(A) \geqslant 9$. We complete the proof of Lemma 5.11. □

Proof of Lemma 5.3. We deduce from Lemma 5.10 that

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}^3 \\ 1 \leqslant \mathbf{x} \leqslant X}} \sum_{1 \leqslant y \leqslant X} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{N}^{n-4} \\ 1 \leqslant \mathbf{z} \leqslant X}} e\Big(\alpha\big(\mathbf{x}^T A_1 \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{x}^T(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)C\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{z}^T D\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{z}^T C^T C\mathbf{z}\big)\Big)$$
$$\times e\Big(\alpha\big(2\mathbf{x}^T\gamma_1 y + ay^2 + 2\mathbf{z}^T\upsilon^T y\big)\Big)\Lambda(\mathbf{x})\Lambda(\mathbf{y})\Lambda(\mathbf{z}).$$

We introduce new variables $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ to replace $2\mathbf{x}^T\gamma_1 + ay + 2\mathbf{z}^T\upsilon^T$ and $C\mathbf{z}$, respectively. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(\alpha) = \int_{[0,1]^3} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{h}\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ |\mathbf{h}|\ll X}} \sum_{|w|\ll X} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{N}^3\\ 1\leqslant \mathbf{x}\leqslant X}} \sum_{1\leqslant y\leqslant X} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{N}^{n-5}\\ 1\leqslant \mathbf{z}\leqslant X}} \Lambda(\mathbf{x})\Lambda(\mathbf{y})\Lambda(\mathbf{z}) \\ \times e\Big(\alpha\big(\mathbf{x}^TA_1\mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{x}^T(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{z}^TD\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{h}^TH\mathbf{h} + wy\big)\Big) \\ \times e\Big((2\mathbf{x}^T\gamma_1 + ay + 2\mathbf{z}^T\upsilon^T - w)\beta_1\Big) \\ \times e\Big((C\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{h})^T\boldsymbol{\beta}'\Big)d\boldsymbol{\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3)^T$, $\boldsymbol{\beta}' = (\beta_2,\beta_3)^T$ and $d\boldsymbol{\beta} = d\beta_1 d\beta_2 d\beta_3$. Now we introduce

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{h}\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ |\mathbf{h}|\ll X}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{N}^3\\ 1\leqslant \mathbf{x}\leqslant X}} e\Big(\alpha\big(\mathbf{x}^TA_1\mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{x}^T(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{h}^TH\mathbf{h}\big)\Big) \\ \times e\Big(2\mathbf{x}^T\gamma_1\beta_1 - \mathbf{h}^T\boldsymbol{\beta}'\Big)\Lambda(\mathbf{x}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{|w|\ll X} \sum_{1\leqslant y\leqslant X} e\Big(\alpha wy + (ay-w)\beta_1\Big)\Lambda(y).$$

On recalling notations in (5.21), we define

$$f_j(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{1\leqslant z\leqslant X} e\Big(\alpha d_j z^2 + 2zv_jb_1 + z\xi_j^T\boldsymbol{\beta}'\Big)\Lambda(z).$$

Then we obtain from above

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big|d\alpha \leqslant \int_{\mathfrak{m}}\int_{[0,1]^3} \Big|\mathcal{F}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\mathcal{H}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\prod_{j=1}^{n-4} f_j(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|d\boldsymbol{\beta}d\alpha. \tag{5.23}$$

By Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{[0,1]^4} \Big|\mathcal{H}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})f_i(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})f_j(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})f_k(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2 d\boldsymbol{\beta}d\alpha \\ \ll& L^8 \sum_{\substack{|w|,|w'|,|y|,|y'|,|z_i|,|z_i'|,|z_j|,|z_j'|,|z_k|,|z_k'|\ll X\\ wy'+yw'+d_iz_iz_i'+d_jz_jz_j'+d_kz_kz_k'=0\\ ay-w+v_iz_i+v_jz_j+v_kz_k=0\\ z_i\xi_i+z_j\xi_j+z_k\xi_k=0}} 1. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathrm{rank}(R_{i,j,k}) = 3$, then we can represent z_i, z_j and z_k by linear functions of y and w. Then by Lemma 5.7,

$$\int_{[0,1]^4} \Big|\mathcal{H}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})f_i(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})f_j(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})f_k(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2 d\boldsymbol{\beta}d\alpha \ll X^5L^9.$$

If $\mathrm{rank}(\xi_i,\xi_j)=2$, then we can represent z_i, z_j and w by linear functions of y and z_k. Then we obtain by Lemma 5.7 again

$$\int_{[0,1]^4}\Big|\mathcal{H}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})f_i(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})f_j(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})f_k(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2 d\boldsymbol{\beta}d\alpha \ll X^5L^9$$

provided that $d_k\neq 0$. One can also deduce from Lemmas 5.5-5.7 that

$$\int_{[0,1]^4}|\mathcal{F}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})|^2 d\boldsymbol{\beta}d\alpha \ll X^5L^7.$$

If $1\leqslant i,j,k\leqslant n-4$ are pairwise distinct, then one has by (5.8) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathfrak{m}}|S(\alpha)|d\alpha \leqslant \sup_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathfrak{m}\\ \boldsymbol{\beta}\in[0,1]^3}}\Big|\prod_{u\neq i,j,k}f_u(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|\Big(\int_{[0,1]^4}\Big|\mathcal{F}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2 d\boldsymbol{\beta}d\alpha\Big)^{1/2}\\ \times\Big(\int_{[0,1]^4}\Big|\mathcal{H}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})f_i(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})f_j(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})f_k(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2 d\boldsymbol{\beta}d\alpha\Big)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Now it follows from above on together with Lemmas 4.3 and 5.11

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}}\big|S(\alpha)\big|d\alpha \ll X^{n-2}L^{-K/6}.$$

We complete the proof of Lemma 5.3.

5.4. **Proof of Lemma 5.4.** Similar to Lemmas 5.8-5.10, we also have the following result.

Lemma 5.12. *If* $\mathrm{rank}(B_1)=\mathrm{rank}(B_2)=\mathrm{rank}(B_3)=4$, *then we can write* A *in the form*

$$A=\begin{pmatrix}A_1 & (\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3)C\\ C^T(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3)^T & D+C^THC\end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.24}$$

where $C\in M_{3,n-3}(\mathbb{Z})$, $\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3\in\mathbb{Q}^3$, $H\in M_{3,3}(\mathbb{Q})$ *and* $D=\mathrm{diag}\{d_1,\dots,d_{n-3}\}\in M_{n-3,n-3}(\mathbb{Q})$ *is a diagonal matrix. Furthermore, we have* $(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3)\in GL_3(\mathbb{Q})$.

Lemma 5.13. *Let* A *be given by (5.20) satisfying the conditions in Lemma 5.10. We write*

$$C=(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_{n-4})\ . \tag{5.25}$$

Then one can find pairwise distinct u_1,u_2,u_3,u_4,u_5,u_6 *with* $1\leqslant u_1,u_2,u_3,u_4,u_5,u_6\leqslant n-3$ *so that* $\mathrm{rank}(\xi_{u_1},\xi_{u_2},\xi_{u_3})=3$ *and* $d_{u_4}d_{u_5}d_{u_6}\neq 0$.

Proof. It follows from $\mathrm{rank}(A)\geqslant 9$ that $\mathrm{rank}(D)\geqslant 3$. If $\mathrm{rank}(D)=3$, then we may assume that $d_1d_2d_3\neq 0$ and $d_j=0$ for $j\geqslant 4$. Thus $\mathrm{rank}(\xi_4,\dots,\xi_{n-3})=3$, and the desired conclusion follows. Next we assume $\mathrm{rank}(D)\geqslant 4$. Since $\mathrm{rank}(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3)=3$, the desired conclusion follows again if there are distinct k_1,k_2 and k_3 such that $d_{k_1}d_{k_2}d_{k_3}\neq 0$ and $k_1,k_2,k_3\geqslant 4$. Thus we now assume that for any distinct $k_1,k_2,k_3\geqslant 4$, one has $d_{k_1}d_{k_2}d_{k_3}=0$. This yields $\mathrm{rank}(D)\leqslant 5$. We first consider the case $\mathrm{rank}(D)=4$. There are at least two distinct $j_1,j_2\leqslant 3$ such that $d_{j_1}d_{j_2}\neq 0$. Suppose that $d_{s_i}=0$ for $1\leqslant i\leqslant n-7$. Then the rank of $\{\xi_{s_i}\}_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n-7}$ is at least 2, say $\mathrm{rank}(\xi_{s_1},\xi_{s_2})=2$. Since ξ_{j_1} and ξ_{j_2} are linear independent for

$1 \leqslant j_1 \neq j_2 \leqslant 3$, one has either $\mathrm{rank}(\xi_{j_2}, \xi_{s_1}, \xi_{s_2}) = 3$ or $\mathrm{rank}(\xi_{j_1}, \xi_{s_1}, \xi_{s_2}) = 3$. The desired conclusion follows easily by choosing $u_1 = j_1$ or j_2, $u_2 = s_1$ and $u_3 = s_2$. Now we consider the case $\mathrm{rank}(D) = 5$, and we may assume that $d_1d_2d_3d_4d_5 \neq 0$ and $d_r = 0$ for $r \geqslant 6$. Since $\mathrm{rank}(A) \geqslant 9$, there exist $r \geqslant 6$ (say $r = 6$) such that $\xi_r \neq 0$. Then one can choose $j_1, j_2 \leqslant 3$ so that $\mathrm{rank}(\xi_{j_1}, \xi_{j_2}, \xi_6) = 3$. The desired conclusion follows by choosing $u_1 = j_1$, $u_2 = j_2$ nd $u_3 = 6$. The proof of Lemma 5.13 is completed. □

Proof of Lemma 5.4. We apply Lemma 5.12 to conclude that

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{N}^3\\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{x}\leqslant X}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{N}^{n-3}\\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{y}\leqslant X}} \Lambda(\mathbf{x})\Lambda(\mathbf{y}) e\Big(\alpha(\mathbf{x}^T A_1\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}^T D\mathbf{y})\Big) \times e\Big(\alpha\big(2\mathbf{x}^T(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3)C\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^T C^T HC\mathbf{y}\big)\Big).$$

By orthogonality, one has

$$S(\alpha) = \int_{[0,1]^3} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{N}^3\\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{x}\leqslant X}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{N}^{n-3}\\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{y}\leqslant X}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{Z}^3\\ |\mathbf{z}|\ll X}} \Lambda(\mathbf{x})\Lambda(\mathbf{y}) e\Big(\alpha\big(\mathbf{x}^T A_1\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}^T D\mathbf{y}\big)\Big) \times e\Big(\alpha(2\mathbf{x}^T(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3)\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{z}^T H\mathbf{z})\Big) \times e\Big((\mathbf{y}^T C^T - \mathbf{z}^T)\boldsymbol{\beta}\Big) d\boldsymbol{\beta},$$

where $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)^T$ and $d\boldsymbol{\beta} = d\beta_1 d\beta_2 d\beta_3$. Now we introduce

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{N}^3\\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{x}\leqslant X}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{Z}^3\\ 1\leqslant\mathbf{z}\leqslant X}} \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) e\Big(\alpha\big(\mathbf{x}^T A_1\mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{x}^T(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3)\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{z}^T H\mathbf{z}\big) - \mathbf{z}^T\boldsymbol{\beta}\Big),$$

and

$$f_j(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{1\leqslant y\leqslant X} e\Big(d_j\alpha y^2 + 2y\xi_j^T\boldsymbol{\beta}\Big)\Lambda(y),$$

where $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{n-3}$ is given by (5.25). We conclude from above

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big| d\alpha \leqslant \int_{\mathfrak{m}} \int_{[0,1]^3} \Big|\mathcal{F}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta}) \prod_{j=1}^{n-3} f_j(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big| d\boldsymbol{\beta} d\alpha. \tag{5.26}$$

One can easily deduce from Lemmas 5.5-5.7

$$\int_{[0,1]^4} \Big|\prod_{i=1}^{5} f_{u_i}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2 d\boldsymbol{\beta} d\alpha \ll X^5 L^{11} \tag{5.27}$$

provided that $\mathrm{rank}(\xi_{u_1}, \xi_{u_2}, \xi_{u_3}) = 3$ and $d_{u_4}d_{u_5} \neq 0$. Similarly, we also have

$$\int_{[0,1]^4} \Big|\mathcal{F}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2 d\boldsymbol{\beta} d\alpha \ll X^7 L^7. \tag{5.28}$$

By (5.26) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one has for distinct u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 and u_5 that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big| d\alpha \leqslant \sup_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathfrak{m}\\ \boldsymbol{\beta}\in[0,1]^3}} \Big| \prod_{k\neq u_1,u_2,u_3,u_4,u_5} f_k(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big| \Big(\int_{[0,1]^4} \Big|\mathcal{F}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2 d\boldsymbol{\beta} d\alpha\Big)^{1/2}$$
$$\times \Big(\int_{[0,1]^4} |\prod_{i=1}^{5} f_{u_i}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big|^2 d\boldsymbol{\beta} d\alpha\Big)^{1/2}. \tag{5.29}$$

Combining (5.27)-(5.29) and Lemmas 4.3-5.13, one has

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big| d\alpha \ll X^{n-2} L^{-K/6}.$$

The proof of Lemma 5.4 is finished.

6. Quadratic forms with off-diagonal rank $\geqslant 4$

Proposition 6.1. *Let A be defined in (1.1), and let $S(\alpha)$ be defined in (2.5). We write*

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,5} & \cdots & a_{1,9} \\ \vdots & \ldots & \vdots \\ a_{5,5} & \cdots & a_{5,9} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.1}$$

Suppose that $\det(G) \neq 0$. *Then we have*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big| d\alpha \ll X^{n-2} L^{-K/20},$$

where the implied constant depends on A and K.

Remark. *In view of the condition on G, one has $n \geqslant 9$. Proposition 6.1 implies the asymptotic formula (1.3) for a wide class of quadratic forms with $n \geqslant 9$. However, different from Proposition 5.1, it does not require the condition* $\mathrm{rank}(A) \geqslant 9$ *in Proposition 6.1. Therefore, Proposition 6.1 also implies the asymptotic formula (1.3) for some quadratic forms, which can not be covered by Theorem 1.1.*

Throughout this section, we shall assume that the matrix G given by (6.1) is invertible.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\tau \neq 0$ be a real number. Then we have*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)} \int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)} \sum_{|x|\ll X} \min\{X,\ \|x\tau(\alpha-\beta)\|^{-1}\} d\alpha d\beta \ll LQ^{7/2}X^{-2},$$

where the implied constant depends on τ.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $0 < |\tau| \leqslant 1$. Thus $|\tau(\alpha-\beta)| \leqslant 1$. We introduce

$$\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{1\leqslant q\leqslant Q^{1/2}} \bigcup_{\substack{-q\leqslant a\leqslant q\\ (a,q)=1}} \Big\{ \big|\alpha - \frac{a}{q}\big| \leqslant \frac{Q^{1/2}}{qX^2} \Big\}$$

Dirichlet's approximation theorem, there exist a and q with $1 \leqslant a \leqslant q \leqslant X^2Q^{-1/2}$, $(a,q)=1$ and $|\alpha - a/q| \leqslant Q^{1/2}(qX^2)^{-1}$. Since $|\tau(\alpha-\beta)| \leqslant 1$, one has $-q \leqslant a \leqslant q$. If $\tau(\alpha-\beta) \notin \mathcal{M}$, then $q > Q^{1/2}$. By Lemma 2.2 of Vaughan [11],

$$\sum_{|x| \ll X} \min\{X,\ \|x\tau(\alpha-\beta)\|^{-1}\} \ll LQ^{-1/2}X^2.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)} \int_{\substack{\mathfrak{m}(Q)\\ \tau(\alpha-\beta)\notin\mathcal{M}}} \sum_{|x|\ll X} \min\{X,\ \|x\tau(\alpha-\beta)\|^{-1}\} d\alpha d\beta\\ &\ll LQ^{-1/2}X^2 \int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)} d\alpha d\beta \ \ll\ LQ^{7/2}X^{-2}.\end{aligned}$$

When $\tau(\alpha-\beta) \in \mathcal{M}$, we apply the trivial bound to the summation over x to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)} \int_{\substack{\mathfrak{m}(Q)\\ \tau(\alpha-\beta)\in\mathcal{M}}} \sum_{|x|\ll X} \min\{X,\ \|x\tau(\alpha-\beta)\|^{-1}\} d\alpha d\beta\\ &\ll X^2 \int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)} \int_{\substack{\mathfrak{m}(Q)\\ \tau(\alpha-\beta)\in\mathcal{M}}} d\alpha d\beta \ \ll\ X^2(Q^2X^{-2}QX^{-2}) = Q^3X^{-2}.\end{aligned}$$

The desired conclusion follows from above immediately. □

To introduce the next lemma, we define

$$\Phi(\alpha) = \min\{X,\ \|\alpha\|^{-1}\}.$$

For $\mathbf{v} = (v_1,\dots,v_5) \in \mathbb{Z}^5$ and G given by (6.1), we write

$$2G\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(\mathbf{v}) \\ \vdots \\ g_5(\mathbf{v}) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.2}$$

Lemma 6.2. *One has*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)} \big|S(\alpha)\big|^2 d\alpha \ll X^{2n-10}L^{2n-6} \int_0^1 \Big(\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)} J_\gamma(\alpha) d\alpha \Big) \Phi(\gamma) d\gamma, \tag{6.3}$$

where

$$J_\gamma(\alpha) = \sum_{|\mathbf{v}|\leqslant X} \Big| \sum_{|z|\leqslant X} \Lambda(z)\Lambda(z+v_1) e(\alpha z g_5(\mathbf{v})) e(\gamma z) \Big| \prod_{j=1}^4 \Phi\big(g_j(\mathbf{v})\alpha\big). \tag{6.4}$$

Proof. Let

$$r(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=5}^{9}\sum_{j=1}^{4} a_{i,j}y_iy_j,\ q(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{i=5}^{9}\sum_{j=5}^{9} a_{i,j}z_iz_j \ \text{ and } \ p(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=9}^{n}\sum_{j=9}^{n} a_{i,j}w_iw_j.$$

We set

$$B = (2a_{i,j})_{1\leqslant i\leqslant 4, 10\leqslant j\leqslant n} \ \text{ and } \ C = (2a_{i,j})_{5\leqslant i\leqslant 9, 10\leqslant j\leqslant n}.$$

Then f can be written in the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = r(\mathbf{y}) + y_1 g_1(\mathbf{z}) + \cdots + y_s g_s(\mathbf{z}) + q(\mathbf{z}) + \mathbf{y}^T B\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{z}^T C\mathbf{w} + p(\mathbf{w}),$$

where $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_5)$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_4)$, $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_{n-9})$. Note that $\mathbf{y}^T B\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{z}^T C\mathbf{w} + p(\mathbf{w})$ vanishes if $n = 9$. Therefore, one has

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X\\ 1\leqslant \mathbf{w}\leqslant X}} \sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{z}\leqslant X} \Lambda(\mathbf{z}) e\Big(\alpha\big(y_1 g_1(\mathbf{z}) + \cdots + y_s g_s(\mathbf{z}) + q(\mathbf{z}) + \mathbf{z}^T B\mathbf{w}\big)\Big)$$
$$\times \Lambda(\mathbf{y})\Lambda(\mathbf{w}) e\Big(\alpha\big(r(\mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{y}^T B\mathbf{w} + p(\mathbf{w})\big)\Big).$$

By Cauchy's inequality,

$$|S(\alpha)|^2 \leqslant X^{n-5} L^{2n-10} T(\alpha), \tag{6.5}$$

where

$$T(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X\\ 1\leqslant \mathbf{w}\leqslant X}} \Big| \sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{z}\leqslant X} \Lambda(\mathbf{z}) e\Big(\alpha\big(\sum_{j=1}^{4} y_j g_j(\mathbf{z}) + q(\mathbf{z}) + \mathbf{z}^T C\mathbf{w}\big)\Big)\Big|^2.$$

Then we deduce that

$$T(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X\\ 1\leqslant \mathbf{w}\leqslant X}} \sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{z}_1\leqslant X} \sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{z}_2\leqslant X} \Lambda(\mathbf{z}_1)\Lambda(\mathbf{z}_2) e\Big(\alpha\big(\sum_{j=1}^{s} y_j g_j(\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2) + q(\mathbf{z}_1) - q(\mathbf{z}_2)\big)\Big)$$
$$\times e\Big(\alpha(\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2)^T C\mathbf{w}\Big)$$
$$= \sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{z}_1\leqslant X} \sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{z}_2\leqslant X} \Lambda(\mathbf{z}_1)\Lambda(\mathbf{z}_2) \sum_{\substack{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X\\ 1\leqslant \mathbf{w}\leqslant X}} e\Big(\alpha\big(\sum_{j=1}^{s} y_j g_j(\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2) + q(\mathbf{z}_1) - q(\mathbf{z}_2)\big)\Big)$$
$$\times e\Big(\alpha(\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2)^T C\mathbf{w}\Big).$$

By changing variables $\mathbf{z}_2 = \mathbf{z}_1 + \mathbf{v}$, we have

$$T(\alpha) = \sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{z}\leqslant X} \sum_{\substack{|\mathbf{v}|\leqslant X\\ 1\leqslant \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{z}\leqslant X}} \Lambda(\mathbf{z})\Lambda(\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{v}) \sum_{\substack{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X\\ 1\leqslant \mathbf{w}\leqslant X}} e\Big(\alpha\big(\sum_{j=1}^{s} y_j g_j(\mathbf{v}) + q(\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{v}) - q(\mathbf{z})\big)\Big)$$
$$\times e\Big(\alpha(\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2)^T C\mathbf{w}\Big).$$

We exchange the summation over $\mathbf{z}$ and the summation over $\mathbf{v}$ to obtain

$$T(\alpha) = \sum_{|\mathbf{v}|\leqslant X} \Big(\sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{y}\leqslant X} e\big(\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{4} y_j g_j(\mathbf{v})\big)\Big) R(\mathbf{v}) \prod_{j=1}^{5} \mathcal{K}_{j,\mathbf{v}}(\alpha), \tag{6.6}$$

where

$$R(\mathbf{v}) = e\Big(\alpha q(\mathbf{v})\Big) \sum_{1\leqslant \mathbf{w}\leqslant X} e\Big(\alpha(\mathbf{v}^T C\mathbf{w})\Big)$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_{j,\mathbf{v}}(\alpha)=\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant z_j\leqslant X\\ 1-v_j\leqslant z_j\leqslant X-v_j}}\Lambda(z_j)\Lambda(z_j+v_j)e\big(2\alpha\sum_{k=1}^{5}a_{j+4,k+4}v_k\big). \tag{6.7}$$

The range of z_j in summation (6.7) depends on v_j. We first follow the standard argument (see for example the argument around (15) in [16]) to remove the dependence on v_j. We write

$$\mathcal{G}_{v_1}(\gamma)=\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant z\leqslant X\\ 1-v_1\leqslant z\leqslant X-v_1}}e\big(-z\gamma\big) \tag{6.8}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_{0,\mathbf{v}}(\alpha,\gamma)=\sum_{|z|\leqslant X}\Lambda(z)\Lambda(z+v_1)e\big(\alpha g_5(\mathbf{v})\big)e(\gamma z). \tag{6.9}$$

Then we deduce from (6.7), (6.8) and (6.9) that

$$\mathcal{K}_{1,\mathbf{v}}(\alpha)=\int_0^1\mathcal{K}_{0,\mathbf{v}}(\alpha,\gamma)\mathcal{G}_{v_1}(\gamma)d\gamma. \tag{6.10}$$

On substituting (6.10) into (6.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}T(\alpha)&=\sum_{|\mathbf{v}|\leqslant X}R(\mathbf{v})\prod_{j=2}^{5}\mathcal{K}_{j,\mathbf{v}}(\alpha)\Big(\sum_{1\leqslant\mathbf{y}\leqslant X}e\big(\alpha\sum_{j=1}^{4}y_jg_j(\mathbf{v})\big)\Big)\int_0^1\mathcal{K}_{0,\mathbf{v}}(\alpha,\gamma)\mathcal{G}_{v_1}(\gamma)d\gamma\\&=\int_0^1\sum_{|\mathbf{v}|\leqslant X}R(\mathbf{v})\prod_{j=2}^{5}\mathcal{K}_{j,\mathbf{v}}(\alpha)\Big(\sum_{1\leqslant\mathbf{y}\leqslant X}e\big(\alpha\sum_{j=1}^{4}y_jg_j(\mathbf{v})\big)\Big)\mathcal{K}_{0,\mathbf{v}}(\alpha,\gamma)\mathcal{G}_{v_1}(\gamma)d\gamma.\end{aligned}$$

Then we conclude that

$$|T(\alpha)|\ll X^{n-5}L^4\int_0^1\sum_{|\mathbf{v}|\leqslant X}\big|\mathcal{K}_{0,\mathbf{v}}(\alpha,\gamma)\big|\prod_{j=1}^{4}\Phi\big(g_j(\mathbf{v}\alpha)\big)\Phi(\gamma)d\gamma, \tag{6.11}$$

By putting (6.11) into (6.5), one has

$$|S(\alpha)|^2\ll X^{2n-10}L^{2n-6}\int_0^1\sum_{|\mathbf{v}|\leqslant X}\big|\mathcal{K}_{0,\mathbf{v}}(\alpha,\gamma)\big|\prod_{j=1}^{4}\Phi\big(g_j(\mathbf{v}\alpha)\big)\Phi(\gamma)d\gamma.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\big|S(\alpha)\big|^2d\alpha\ll X^{2n-10}L^{2n-6}\int_0^1\Big(\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}J_\gamma(\alpha)d\alpha\Big)\Phi(\gamma)d\gamma.$$

The proof is completed. □

Lemma 6.3. *Let $J_\gamma(\alpha)$ be defined in (6.4). Then one has uniformly for $\gamma\in[0,1]$ that*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}J_\gamma(\alpha)d\alpha\ll L^{25/4}Q^{-17/8}X^8.$$

Proof. We deduce by changing variables $\mathbf{h}=2G\mathbf{v}$ that

$$J_\gamma(\alpha)=\sum_{\substack{|\mathbf{h}|\leqslant cX\\(2G)^{-1}\mathbf{h}\in\mathbb{Z}^5\\|(2G)^{-1}\mathbf{h}|\leqslant X}}\Big|\sum_{|z|\leqslant X}\Lambda(z)\Lambda(z+\sum_{j=1}^{5}b_jh_j)e(\alpha zh_5)e(\gamma z)\Big|\prod_{j=1}^{4}\Phi(h_j\alpha)$$

for some constants $c,b_1,\dots,b_5$ depending only on G. We point out that $b_1,\dots,b_5$ are rational numbers, and we extend the domain of function $\Lambda(x)$ by taking $\Lambda(x)=0$ if $x\in\mathbb{Q}\setminus\mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$J_\gamma(\alpha)\leqslant\sum_{|\mathbf{u}|\leqslant cX}\sum_{|h|\leqslant cX}\Big|\sum_{|z|\leqslant X}\Lambda(z)\Lambda(z+\sum_{j=1}^{4}b_ju_j+b_5h)e(\alpha zh)e(\gamma z)\Big|\prod_{j=1}^{4}\Phi(u_j\alpha).$$

We first handle the easier case $b_5=0$. In this case, we can easily obtain a nontrivial estimate for the summation over h. By Cauchy's inequality and Lemma 4.1, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\sum_{|h|\leqslant cX}\Big|\sum_{|z|\leqslant X}\Lambda(z)\Lambda(z+\sum_{j=1}^{4}b_ju_j)e(\alpha zh)e(\gamma z)\Big|\Big)^2\\&\leqslant(2cX+1)\sum_{|h|\leqslant cX}\Big|\sum_{|z|\leqslant X}\Lambda(z)\Lambda(z+\sum_{j=1}^{4}b_ju_j)e(\alpha zh)e(\gamma z)\Big|^2\\&\ll X^2\sum_{|x|\ll X}\min\{X,\ \|x\alpha\|^{-1}\}.\end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha\in\mathfrak{m}(Q)$, we apply Lemma 4.2 to deduce from above

$$\sum_{|h|\leqslant cX}\Big|\sum_{|z|\leqslant X}\Lambda(z)\Lambda(z+\sum_{j=1}^{4}b_ju_j)e(\alpha zh)e(\gamma z)\Big|\ll L^{1/2}Q^{-1/2}X^2.$$

Then for $\alpha\in\mathfrak{m}(Q)$, we obtain

$$J_\gamma(\alpha)\ll L^{1/2}Q^{-1/2}X^2\sum_{|\mathbf{u}|\leqslant cX}\prod_{j=1}^{4}\Phi(u_j\alpha)\ll L^{9/2}Q^{-9/2}X^{10},$$

and thereby

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}J_\gamma(\alpha)d\alpha\ll L^{9/2}Q^{-5/2}X^8\tag{6.12}$$

provided that $b_5=0$. From now on, we assume $b_5\neq0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{|h|\leqslant cX}\Big|\sum_{|z|\leqslant X}\Lambda(z)\Lambda(z+\sum_{j=1}^{4}b_ju_j+b_5h)e(\alpha zh)e(\gamma z)\Big|\\&=\sum_{\substack{|k|\leqslant c'X\\\frac{1}{b_5}(k-\sum_{j=1}^{4}b_ju_j)\in\mathbb{Z}\\|\frac{1}{b_5}(k-\sum_{j=1}^{4}b_ju_j)|\leqslant cX}}\Big|\sum_{|z|\leqslant X}\Lambda(z)\Lambda(z+k)e\big(\frac{\alpha}{b_5}z(k-\sum_{j=1}^{4}b_ju_j)\big)e(\gamma z)\Big|\end{aligned}$$

for some constant c' depending only on $b_1,\dots,b_5$ and c. Therefore, one has

$$\sum_{|h|\leqslant cX}\Big|\sum_{|z|\leqslant X}\Lambda(z)\Lambda(z+\sum_{j=1}^{4}b_ju_j+b_5h)e(\alpha zh)e(\gamma z)\Big|$$
$$\leqslant\sum_{|k|\leqslant c'X}\Big|\sum_{|z|\leqslant X}\Lambda(z)\Lambda(z+k)e\big(\frac{\alpha}{b_5}z(k-\sum_{j=1}^{4}b_ju_j)\big)e(\gamma z)\Big|.$$

We apply Cauchy's inequality to deduce that

$$\sum_{|h|\leqslant cX}\Big|\sum_{|z|\leqslant X}\Lambda(z)\Lambda(z+\sum_{j=1}^{4}b_ju_j+b_5h)e(\alpha zh)e(\gamma z)\Big|$$
$$\leqslant(2c'X+1)^{1/2}\Big(\sum_{|k|\leqslant c'X}\Big|\sum_{|z|\leqslant X}\Lambda(z)\Lambda(z+k)e\big(\frac{\alpha}{b_5}z(k-\sum_{j=1}^{4}b_ju_j)\big)e(\gamma z)\Big|^2\Big)^{1/2}.$$

We apply Cauchy's inequality again to obtain

$$J_\gamma(\alpha)\leqslant(2c'X+1)^{1/2}\Xi_\gamma(\alpha)^{1/2}\Big(\sum_{|\mathbf{u}|\leqslant cX}\prod_{j=1}^{4}\Phi(u_j\alpha)\Big)^{1/2},$$

where $\Xi_\gamma(\alpha)$ is defined as

$$\Xi_\gamma(\alpha)=\sum_{|\mathbf{u}|\leqslant cX}\sum_{|k|\leqslant c'X}\Big|\sum_{|z|\leqslant X}\Lambda(z)\Lambda(z+k)e\big(\frac{\alpha}{b_5}z(k-\sum_{j=1}^{4}b_ju_j)\big)e(\gamma z)\Big|^2\prod_{j=1}^{4}\Phi(u_j\alpha).$$

By Lemma 3.2,

$$J_\gamma(\alpha)\ll L^2Q^{-2}X^{9/2}\Xi_\gamma(\alpha)^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}J_\gamma(\alpha)d\alpha\ll&L^2Q^{-2}X^{9/2}\Big(\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}d\alpha\Big)^{1/2}\Big(\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\Xi_\gamma(\alpha)d\alpha\Big)^{1/2}\\ \ll&L^2Q^{-1}X^{7/2}\Big(\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\Xi_\gamma(\alpha)d\alpha\Big)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.13}$$

Now it suffices to estimate $\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\Xi_\gamma(\alpha)d\alpha$. We observe

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\Xi_\gamma(\alpha)d\alpha\\ =&\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\sum_{|\mathbf{u}|\leqslant cX}\sum_{|k|\leqslant c'X}\sum_{|z_1|\leqslant X}\sum_{|z_2|\leqslant X}\varpi(z_1,z_2,k)e\big(\frac{\alpha}{b_5}(z_1-z_2)k\big)\Pi(\alpha,\mathbf{u},z_1,z_2)d\alpha\\ =&\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\sum_{|k|\leqslant c'X}\sum_{|z_1|\leqslant X}\sum_{|z_2|\leqslant X}\varpi(z_1,z_2,k)e\big(\frac{\alpha}{b_5}(z_1-z_2)k\big)\sum_{|\mathbf{u}|\leqslant cX}\Pi(\alpha,\mathbf{u},z_1,z_2)d\alpha,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varpi(z_1,z_2,k)=\Lambda(z_1)\Lambda(z_1+k)\Lambda(z_2)\Lambda(z_2+k)e(\gamma(z_1-z_2))$$

and

$$\Pi(\alpha,\mathbf{u},z_1,z_2)=e\big(\frac{\alpha}{b_5}(z_1-z_2)\sum_{j=1}^{4}b_ju_j\big)\prod_{j=1}^{4}\Phi(u_j\alpha).$$

We exchange the order of summation and integration to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\Xi_\gamma(\alpha)d\alpha\\
=&\sum_{|k|\leqslant c'X}\sum_{|z_1|\leqslant X}\sum_{|z_2|\leqslant X}\varpi(z_1,z_2,k)\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}e\big(\frac{\alpha}{b_5}(z_1-z_2)k\big)\sum_{|\mathbf{u}|\leqslant cX}\Pi(\alpha,\mathbf{u},z_1,z_2)d\alpha\\
\ll&L^4\sum_{|k|\leqslant c'X}\sum_{|z_1|\leqslant X}\sum_{|z_2|\leqslant X}\Big|\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}e\big(\frac{\alpha}{b_5}(z_1-z_2)k\big)\sum_{|\mathbf{u}|\leqslant cX}\Pi(\alpha,\mathbf{u},z_1,z_2)d\alpha\Big|\\
=&L^4\sum_{|z_1|\leqslant X}\sum_{|z_2|\leqslant X}\sum_{|k|\leqslant c'X}\Big|\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}e\big(\frac{\alpha}{b_5}(z_1-z_2)k\big)\sum_{|\mathbf{u}|\leqslant cX}\Pi(\alpha,\mathbf{u},z_1,z_2)d\alpha\Big|.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\Xi_\gamma(\alpha)d\alpha\Big)^2\\
\ll&L^8X^3\sum_{|z_1|\leqslant X}\sum_{|z_2|\leqslant X}\sum_{|k|\leqslant c'X}\Big|\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}e\big(\frac{\alpha}{b_5}(z_1-z_2)k\big)\sum_{|\mathbf{u}|\leqslant cX}\Pi(\alpha,\mathbf{u},z_1,z_2)d\alpha\Big|^2. \quad (6.14)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we apply the method developed by the author [17] to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{|z_1|\leqslant X}\sum_{|z_2|\leqslant X}\sum_{|k|\leqslant c'X}\Big|\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}e\big(\frac{\alpha}{b_5}(z_1-z_2)k\big)\sum_{|\mathbf{u}|\leqslant cX}\Pi(\alpha,\mathbf{u},z_1,z_2)d\alpha\Big|^2\\
=&\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\sum_{|z_1|\leqslant X}\sum_{|z_2|\leqslant X}\sum_{|k|\leqslant c'X}e\big(\frac{\alpha-\beta}{b_5}(z_1-z_2)k\big)\\
&\qquad\times\sum_{|\mathbf{u}_1|\leqslant cX}\Pi(\alpha,\mathbf{u}_1,z_1,z_2)\sum_{|\mathbf{u}_2|\leqslant cX}\Pi(-\beta,\mathbf{u}_2,z_1,z_2)d\alpha d\beta\\
\leqslant&\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\sum_{|z_1|\leqslant X}\sum_{|z_2|\leqslant X}\Big|\sum_{|k|\leqslant c'X}e\big(\frac{\alpha-\beta}{b_5}(z_1-z_2)k\big)\Big|\\
&\qquad\times\sum_{|\mathbf{u}_1|\leqslant cX}\prod_{j=1}^{4}\Phi(u_j\alpha)\sum_{|\mathbf{u}_2|\leqslant cX}\prod_{j=1}^{4}\Phi(u_j'\beta)d\alpha d\beta,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{u}_1=(u_1,\dots,u_4)^T\in\mathbb{Z}^4$ and $\mathbf{u}_2=(u_1',\dots,u_4')^T\in\mathbb{Z}^4$. Therefore, we obtain by Lemma 4.2

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{|z_1|\leqslant X}\sum_{|z_2|\leqslant X}\sum_{|k|\leqslant c'X}\Big|\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}e\big(\frac{\alpha}{b_5}(z_1-z_2)k\big)\sum_{|\mathbf{u}|\leqslant cX}\Pi(\alpha,\mathbf{u},z_1,z_2)d\alpha\Big|^2\\ \ll&\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\sum_{|z_1|\leqslant X}\sum_{|z_2|\leqslant X}\min\{X,\ \|\frac{\alpha-\beta}{b_5}(z_1-z_2)\|^{-1}\}(L^4Q^{-4}X^8)^2d\alpha d\beta\\ \ll&L^8Q^{-8}X^{17}\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\sum_{|x|\leqslant X}\min\{X,\ \|\frac{\alpha-\beta}{b_5}x\|^{-1}\}d\alpha d\beta.\end{aligned}$$

Then we conclude from Lemma 6.1 that

$$\sum_{|z_1|\leqslant X}\sum_{|z_2|\leqslant X}\sum_{|k|\leqslant c'X}\Big|\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}e\big(\frac{\alpha}{b_5}(z_1-z_2)k\big)\sum_{|\mathbf{u}|\leqslant cX}\Pi(\alpha,\mathbf{u},z_1,z_2)d\alpha\Big|^2\ll L^9Q^{-\frac{9}{2}}X^{15}. \tag{6.15}$$

By (6.14) and (6.15),

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\Xi_\gamma(\alpha)d\alpha\ll L^{17/2}Q^{-9/4}X^9. \tag{6.16}$$

By substituting (6.16) into (6.13), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}J_\gamma(\alpha)d\alpha\ll L^{25/4}Q^{-17/8}X^8 \tag{6.17}$$

provided that $b_5\neq 0$.

We complete the proof in view of the argument around (6.12) and (6.17). □

Lemma 6.4. *One has*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\big|S(\alpha)\big|d\alpha\ll L^{n+1}Q^{-1/16}X^{n-2}.$$

Proof. By Cauchy's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\big|S(\alpha)\big|d\alpha\leqslant&\Big(\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}d\alpha\Big)^{1/2}\Big(\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\big|S(\alpha)\big|^2d\alpha\Big)^{1/2} &(6.18)\\ \ll&QX^{-1}\Big(\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\big|S(\alpha)\big|^2d\alpha\Big)^{1/2}. &(6.19)\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 6.2-6.3 that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)}\big|S(\alpha)\big|d\alpha\ll L^{2n+1}Q^{-17/8}X^{2n-2}\int_0^1\Phi(\gamma)d\gamma\ll L^{2n+2}Q^{-17/8}X^{2n-2}. \tag{6.20}$$

We complete the proof by putting (6.18) into (6.20). □

We finish Section 6 by pointing out that Proposition 6.1 follows from Lemma 6.4 by the dyadic argument.

7. Proof of Theorem 1.1

By orthogonality, we have

$$N_{f,t}(X)=\int_{X^{-1}}^{1+X^{-1}} S(\alpha)e(-t\alpha)d\alpha.$$

Recalling the definitions of $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ in (2.8) and (2.9), we have

$$N_{f,t}(X)=\int_{\mathfrak{M}} S(\alpha)e(-t\alpha)d\alpha+\int_{\mathfrak{m}} S(\alpha)e(-t\alpha)d\alpha. \tag{7.1}$$

In light of Lemma 3.4, to establish the asymptotic formula (1.3), it suffices to prove

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \big|S(\alpha)\big|d\alpha \ll X^{n-2}L^{-K/20}. \tag{7.2}$$

In view of Proposition 6.1 and the work of Liu [10] (see also Remark of Lemma 4.4), the estimate (7.2) holds if there exists an invertible matrix

$$B=\begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1,j_1} & \cdots & a_{i_5,j_5}\\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots\\ a_{i_5,j_1} & \cdots & a_{i_5,j_5}\end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$|\{i_1,\dots,i_5\}\cap\{j_1,\cdots,j_5\}|\leqslant 1.$$

Next we assume $\mathrm{rank}(B)\leqslant 4$ for all $B=(a_{i_k,j_l})_{1\leqslant k,l\leqslant 5}$ satisfying $|\{i_1,\dots,i_5\}\cap\{j_1,\cdots,j_5\}|\leqslant 1$. This yields $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathrm{off}}(A)\leqslant 4$. By Proposition 5.1, we can establish (7.2) again if $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathrm{off}}(A)\leqslant 3$. It remains to consider the case $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathrm{off}}(A)\geqslant 4$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\mathrm{rank}(C)=4$, where

$$C=\begin{pmatrix} a_{1,5} & a_{1,6} & a_{1,7} & a_{1,8}\\ a_{2,5} & a_{2,6} & a_{2,7} & a_{2,8}\\ a_{3,5} & a_{3,6} & a_{3,7} & a_{3,8}\\ a_{4,5} & a_{4,6} & a_{4,7} & a_{4,8}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\gamma_j=(a_{j,5},\dots,a_{j,n})^T\in\mathbb{Z}^{n-4}$ for $1\leqslant j\leqslant n$. Then γ_1, γ_2, γ_3 and γ_4 are linear independent due to $\mathrm{rank}(C)=4$. For $5\leqslant k\leqslant n$, we considering

$$B=\begin{pmatrix} a_{1,5} & \cdots & a_{1,n}\\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots\\ a_{4,5} & \cdots & a_{4,n}\\ a_{k,5} & \cdots & a_{k,n}\end{pmatrix}\in M_{5,n-4}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

According to our assumption, one has $\mathrm{rank}(B)\leqslant 4$. Then we conclude from above that γ_k can be linear represented by γ_1, γ_2, γ_3 and γ_4. Therefore, one has $\mathrm{rank}(H)=4$, where

$$H=\begin{pmatrix} a_{1,5} & \cdots & a_{1,n}\\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots\\ a_{n,5} & \cdots & a_{n,n}\end{pmatrix}\in M_{n,n-4}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

We obtain $\mathrm{rank}(A)\leqslant\mathrm{rank}(H)+4\leqslant 8$. This is contradictory to the condition that $\mathrm{rank}(A)\geqslant 9$. Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

References

[1] R. C. Baker, *Diagonal cubic equations III*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **58** (1989), 495–518.
[2] J. Bourgain, A. Gamburd, P. Sarnak, *Sieving and expanders*, Acad. Sci. Paris **343** (2006), 155–159.
[3] J. Brüdern, R. Dietmann, J. Liu, T. D. Wooley, *A Birch-Goldbach theorem*, Arch. Math. **94** (2010), 53–58.
[4] B. Cook, *Diophatine equations in the primes*, Thesis, The University of British Colimbia.
[5] D. R. Heath-Brown, *Cubic forms in ten variables*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **47** (1983), 225–257.
[6] D. R. Heath-Brown, *Cubic forms in 14 variables*, Invent. Math. **170** (2007), 199–230.
[7] C. Hooley, *On nonary cubic forms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **386** (1988), 32–98.
[8] L. K. Hua, *Some results in additive prime number theory*, Quart. J. Math. **9** (1938), 60–80.
[9] E. Keil, *Translation invariant quadratic forms in dense sets*, preprint.
[10] J. Liu, *Integral points on quadrics with prime coordinates*, Monatsh. Math. **164** (2011), 439–465.
[11] R. C. Vaughan, *The Hardy-Littlewood method*, 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1997.
[12] R. C. Vaughan, *On Waring's problem for cubes*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **365** (1986), 122–170.
[13] R. C. Vaughan, *On Waring's problem for cubes II*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **39** (1989), 205–218.
[14] T. D. Wooley, *Breaking classical convexity in Waring's problem: sums of cubes and quasi-diagonal behaviour*, Invent. Math. **12** (1995), 421–451.
[15] T. D. Wooley, *Sums of three cubes*, Mathematika **47** (2000), 53–61.
[16] T. D. Wooley, *The asymptotic formula in Waring's problem*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **7** (2012), 1485–1504.
[17] L. Zhao, *On the Waring-Goldbach problem for fourth and sixth powers*, Proc. London Math. Soc., to appear.

E-mail address: zhaolilu@gmail.com

School of Mathematics, Hefei University of Technology, Heifei 230009, People's Republic of China